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## Social inclusion



FROM 2017-2018
$1.3 \%$

## DECREASE

The share of social transfers, including pensions, declined from 19.4\% in 2017 to $18.1 \%$ in 2018.

FROM 2016-2019


## INCREASE

In 2019, the share of the unemployed men who gave up on job search was higher than in 2016 - 15.2\% vs. 14\%.

FROM 2016-2019


INCREASE
The share of the unemployed not registered with the National
Employment Service increased from 46.7\% in 2016 to $\mathbf{6 3 . 4 \%}$ in 2019.

FROM 2016-2020


INCREASE
The number of victims of domestic violence, recorded by State Social Services, increased from 129 in 2016 to 533 in 2020.

## Snapshot:

Social inclusion in Albania


## Financial poverty and social protection

- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was higher among women than men. In 2017, at-risk-of-poverty rate was $23.5 \%$ for men and $23.9 \%$ for women; meanwhile, in 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was $23.0 \%$ for men and $23.8 \%$ for women.
- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was higher among the age group $0-17$ years old, 29.6\%.
- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was higher for families with dependent children. In 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate for families with dependent children was $27.0 \%$; meanwhile, for families without dependent children was 15.2\%.
- At-risk-of-poverty rate increased from $61.8 \%$ in 2017 to $64.2 \%$ in 2018 for persons living in households with very low work intensity, and it increased from $34.3 \%$ to $42.0 \%$ for persons living in households with low work intensity.
- The share of social transfers - including pensions - declined from 19.4\% in 2017 to $18.1 \%$ in 2018.
- The number of the blind, paraplegics and quadriplegicswho benefited social services increased from 2016 to 2019. The opposite
pattern was found in 2020. The number of the blind who received social services declined from 12,400 in 2019 to 12,185 in 2020. The number of paraplegics and quadriplegics declined from 6,666 in 2019 to 6,549 in 2020.
- The number of Roma childrenwho benefited from State Social Services increased from 675 in 2016 to 2,080 in 2017 - the year with the highest number of beneficiaries. The number of older adults who benefited from State Social Services increased from 81 in 2016 to 122 in 2020. The number of persons with disabilities increased from 23 in 2016 to 60 in 2020.
- The number of victims of domestic violence recorded by State Social Services - increased from 129 in 2016 to 533 in 2020.



## Employment and skills

- During 2016 - 2019, the share of long-term unemployed was higher among women than men. Over time, the gender gap diminished. In 2019, the difference between women and men was 0.9 percentage points, compared to 3.3 percentage points in 2016.
- During 2016-2019, the very long-term unemployment rate was higher for men than women. For instance, the very long-term unemployment rate in 2019 was 5.4\% for men and 4.5\% for women.
- The employment rate was lower among women of all age groups. In 2019, the employment rate for women between 15 and 64 years old was $54.4 \%$; meanwhile, the employment rate for men between 15 and 64 years old was $68.2 \%$ - a difference of 13.8 percentage points.
- The share of self-employed declined from $34.9 \%$ in 2016 to $32.3 \%$ in 2019 . The share of self-employed was lower among women than men. In 2019, the share of self-employed was $39.6 \%$ for men and $23.1 \%$ for women.
- The share of those working (with no pay) in the family business declined from 23.9\% in 2016 to $22.0 \%$ in 2019. The share of women working (with no pay) in the family business was higher among all age groups, except those between 15-24 years old and 25-29 years old.
- The share of those employed less than 15 work hours per week was higher among women than men. The gender gap was substantial. In 2019, the share of those employed less than 15 work hours per week was $3.2 \%$ for women and $1.8 \%$ for men.
- The share of the unemployed men who gave up on job search declined from 2016 to 2017, to increase again in 2018 and 2019. In 2019, the share of the unemployed men who gave up on job search was higher than in $2016-15.2 \%$ vs. $14.5 \%$. The share of the unemployed women who gave up on job search declined from 8.1\% in 2016 to $5.8 \%$ in 2019.
- The share of the unemployed not registered with the National Employment Service increased from $46.7 \%$ in 2016 to $63.4 \%$ in 2019. The share of the unemployed not registered with theNational Employment Service was higher among men than women.
- The share of registered unemployed jobseekers included in Active Labor Market Programs increased from 33\% in 2016 to 63\% in 2019. The share decreased to 38\% in 2020.



## Health

- In 2017 and 2018, women - compared to menwere less likely to perceive their medical status as "very good or good."
- The incidence rate (per 100,000 women) of cervical cancer was 8.3 in 2016, 8.7 in 2017, and 8.7 in 2018.
- The incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer increased from 11.2 in 2016 to 13.7 in 2018. The incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer was higher among men than women. In 2018, the incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer was 16.8 for men and 10.5 for women.
- Child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate increased from 2017 to 2019.
- During 2016-2020, maternal mortality rate (per 100,000 live births) fluctuated considerably. It increased from 3.5 in 2016 to 10.9 in 2017. It declined to 3.9 in 2018, and it increased to 8.1 in 2019. In 2020, maternal mortality rate was 4.1 - a value higher than in 2016.
- In 2016, the number of new cases of breast cancer was 672 . The number increased to 713 in 2017. It decreased to 708 in 2018and 678 in 2019.

[^0]

## Education and training

- The gross enrollment ratio in kindergartens declined from 81.5\% during 2016-2017 to 79.9\% during 2019-2020. A declining pattern was also found for the two other levels of education 9 -year education and high school education.
- The dropout rate in basic education and upper secondary education was higher among boys than girls.
- The dropout rate in upper secondary education was higher in rural areas. During the academic year 2019-2020, the dropout rate was $1.18 \%$ in urban areas and $3.4 \%$ in rural areas.
- The number of children with disabilities attending basic education declined from 3,664 during the period 2018-2019 to 3,550 during the period 2019-2020.
- The number of Roma pupils attending basic education increased from 3,092 during the academic year 2016-2017 to 3,758 during the academic year 2018-2019. The number decreased to 3,550 during the academic year 2019-2020.
- The number of Roma pupils attending upper secondary education declined from 483 during the academic year 2016-2017 to 175 during the academic year 2019-2020.
- The number of Egyptian pupils attending upper secondary education increased from 460 during the academic year 2016-2017 to 1,042 during the academic year 2018-2019. The num-
ber declined to 650 during the academic year 2019-2020.
- Access to free textbooks declined significantly after the academic year 2017-2018. The decline was present among Roma, Egyptian, blind, and orphaned pupils.
- Education expenditure for preuniversity education declined from $2.34 \%$ in 2016 to $1.90 \%$ in 2020. A declining pattern was found for both levels of education - basic education and upper secondary education.



## Basic needs

- The possession of computers increased from $39.1 \%$ in 2017 to $42.4 \%$ in 2018. The possession of other household appliances - phone, television, and washing machine - changed only slightly.
- The percentage of Roma families who benefited from social housing programs in 2018 and 2019 was 33.5 and 30.2 , respectively. In 2020, Roma families constituted $24.2 \%$ of social housing beneficiaries.
- Social housing budget increased from 0.424 billion lekë in 2016 to 0.526 billion lekë in 2019. In 2020, the budget increased to 3.5 billion lekë.
- Social housing budget comprised $0.03 \%$ of the GDP during 2016-2019. It increased to $0.22 \%$ in 2020.



## Human rights

- The number of requests addressed to State Social Services by women and members of the Roma community was lower in 2020 than in 2016. The number of requests made by members of the Roma community declined substantially - from 177 in 2019 to 99 in 2020.
- The number of cases of domestic violence addressed by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic Violence was 60 in 2020. The number was smaller than in 2016, 60 vs. 95.
- The crime rate (per 100,000 people) increased from 143 in 2018 to 177 in 2019.
- The number of applications for legal aid increased substantially - from 67 applications in 2019 to 4,372 applications in 2020. The percentage of cases won was 95.5 in 2019 and 99.4 in 2020.
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## Introduction



Monitoring Social
Inclusionpresents data on
social inclusion in Albania
across several policy domains, including financial poverty and social protection, employment and skills, health, education and training, basic needs, and human rights. The report draws on the Social Inclusion Policy Document
(2016-2020) ${ }^{2}$ that set the vision of guaranteeing "a transparent, accountable, and regular system for assessing social inclusion," and the goal of "improving government policies and Albania's progress towards EU accession." ${ }^{3}$

The Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020) sought to achieve three results: (1) develop, adopt, and mainstream social inclusion policies into sector strategies and national policy strategies by 2020; (2) align the reporting on social inclusion into the regular reporting of the government as part of the policy dialogue with the EU on progress in social inclusion; and (3) diminish poverty and marginalization through increased insights into the types, causes and intensity of social inclusion. ${ }^{4}$

To achieve these results, the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020) established three pillars. The first pillar centered on building sustainable systems for social inclusion. The document proposed the establishment of the Statistical Indicators and Integrity Group (SIIG) to ensure consistency in the definition and use of social inclusion indicators, establish a historical baseline of social inclusion indicators (2010-2015), and ensure compliance with the introduction

## The three main pillars



## First pillar

centered on building sustainable systems for social inclusion.

## Second pillar

centered on building effective systems for the governance of social inclusion in the country.

Third pillar centered on improving policy dialogue on social inclusion.
and transition to the European Union Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC). Other planned activities included publishing a glossary of terms for social inclusion that would be circulated to public bodies, think tanks, and nongovernmental organisations; further, collecting and analyzing administrative data on gender, ethnicity, and disability, and incorporating such data into annual social inclusion reports. It was also proposed to establish a resource facility - the Technical Resource Facility (TRF) for Social Inclusion and Poverty Analysis - that would guide the efforts of monitoring and measuring social inclusion. ${ }^{5}$

The second pillar centered on building effective systems for the governance of social inclusion in the country. One of the first anticipated activities was the establishment of the Thematic Group on Social Inclusion. The group would ensure the coordination and implementation of the Social Inclusion Policy Document as part of the National Sector Programme for the Employment, Skills and Social Policy Sector (now the Integrated Policy Management Group - IPMG - for Employment and Skills) ${ }^{6}$. It was also anticipated to undertake an institutional reviewto improve the ways in which social inclusion is managed by the government and embedded in government systems. Other planned activities included developing a communication strategy for social inclusion. ${ }^{7}$

The third pillar centered on improving policy dialogue on social inclusion. Anticipated activities included publishing an annual report on social inclusion in Albania based on quantitative and qualitative data, conducting qualitative studies
5. Ibid, pp. 43-44.
6. Integrated Policy Management Groups - including the Integrated Policy Management Group for Employment and Skills - were established based on the Prime Minister's Order No. 157, 22.10.2018, "On taking measures for the implementation of the sectoral/cross-sectoral wide approach, and the establishment and functioning of the integrated sec-toral/cross-sectoral mechanism." Integrated Policy Management Groups monitor sectoral reforms in Albania in accordance with the Government Priorities, the National Strategy for Development and Integration, the Medium-Term Budget Program, the EU membership process, and Albania's international obligations. The Thematic Group on Social Inclusion and Protection monitors the fulfillment of the objectives established in the framework of social inclusion and protection sectoral and overarching strategies.
7. Ibid, p. 48.
on the intensity, causes and types of social exclusion, and organizing periodic events and an annual national conference on social inclusion. ${ }^{8}$

The report presented here concerns the first pillar - collection and analysis of data on social inclusion across different policy domains. We do not establish a historical baseline on social inclusion for the period 2010-2015 - as planned in the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020). However, we draw on more recent data (20152020) to provide insights on several indicators of social inclusion. The purpose of the report is twofold: first, to improve our understanding of social inclusion across different policy domains, and second, to identify areas of concern that can be used to inform policymaking. This is the first systematic effort to study social inclusion based on data provided by state institutions. While the purpose of the present report is not to assess the implementation of the activities laid out in the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020) and, overall, the fulfillment of thematic priorities, it highlights those thematic priorities that have not received significant attention during 2016-2020. The goal is to inform the social inclusion agenda of state institutions.

The Economic Reform Programme of the Government of Albania (2021-2023) has established the goal of "expand[ing] the coverage, inclusiveness, effectiveness [of services], better targeting people in need through social integrated services and through financial mechanisms."9

Some of the policy measures include expanding health coverage, reducing inequality, and supporting inclusive growth. ${ }^{10}$ The findings presented in this report can support state institutions in the process of developing policy interventions to promote social inclusion.
8. Ibid, p. 51.
9. Council of Ministers. (2021). Economic reform programme, 2021-2023, Tirana, Albania (p. 142).
10. Ibid, p. 141.

# The Social Inclusion Policy Document established eight thematic priorities for the period 2015-2020: 

1
Establish a Statistical Indicators and Integrity Group (SIIG) to ensure consistency in the definition and use of indicators, establish a historical baseline of indicators (2010-2015) and ensure compliance with the introduction and transition to EU-SILC.


Ensure that administrative data on gender, ethnicity and disability is collected and analysed.

Prepare and publish a glossary of terms for social inclusion that is circulated to all public bodies, think tanks, non-governmental organisations.


Develop and implement periodic/thematic qualitative analysis of social inclusion in Albania that focus on the intensity, causes and types of social exclusion.

Prepare an annual report on Social Inclusion in Albania based on existing and future survey and qualitative data.


Promote policy dialogue on social inclusion in Albania though annual national social inclusion conference and periodic events.

Conduct an institutional review of measures to improve the technical competencies and organizational governance of social inclusion in Albania.

Establish a Technical Resource Facility (TRF) for social inclusion and poverty analysis.


## Methodology

The report is based on data collected during February-March 2021 from several institutions, including the Institute of Statistics, State Social Services, National Agency for Employment and Skills, Compulsory Health Insurance Fund, Public Health Institute, Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth, Ministry of Finance and Economy, State Social Services, State Directorate for Legal Aid, Ministry of Interior, and Ministry of Justice. A formal request for data-accompanied by the list of indicators - was sent to each
institution. The list of indicators was based on the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020). The process of data collection was facilitated by the General Directory of Policies and Development of Health and Social Protection in the Ministry of Health and Social Protection.


## Social inclusion indicators Financial poverty and social protection

## At-risk-of-poverty rate by gender

- At-risk-of-poverty rate was $23.7 \%$ in 2017 and 23.4\% in 2018.
- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was higher among women. In 2017, at-risk-of-poverty rate was $23.5 \%$ for men and $23.9 \%$ for women; meanwhile, in 2018, at-risk-of-povertyrate was $23.0 \%$ for men and $23.8 \%$ for women (Figure 1 ).


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017 - 2018)

Figure 2: At-risk-of-poverty rate by age group (\%)


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

Figure 3: At-risk-of-poverty rate by household type (\%)


[^1]
## At-risk-of-poverty rate by the work intensity of household members

- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of-poverty rate was higher for persons living in households with very low work intensity, compared to persons living in households with low work intensity.
- At-risk-of-poverty rate increased from 61.8\% in 2017 to $64.2 \%$ in 2018 for persons living in households with very low work intensity, and it increased from $34.3 \%$ in 2017 to $42.0 \%$ in 2018 for persons living in households with low work intensity (Figure 4).


## At-risk-of-poverty rateby the most frequent activity status in the labor market

- In 2017 and 2018, at-risk-of poverty rate was higher for the unemployed. Specifically, at-riskof poverty rate for the unemployed was $38.8 \%$ in 2017 and 37.1\% in 2018, and at-risk-of-poverty rate for those employed was 17.9\% in 2017 and 16.5\% in 2018 (Figure 5).

Figure 4: At-risk-of-poverty rate by the work intensity of household members (\%)


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

Figure 5: At-risk-of-poverty rate by the most frequent activity status in the labour market (\%)


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

Figure 6: At-risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status (\%)


[^2]
## At-risk-of-poverty threshold

- At-risk-of-poverty threshold was 145,017 lekë in 2017, and 160,742 lekë in 2018.


## Inequality of income distribution, quintile

 ratio S80/S20- Inequality of income distribution was $7.5 \%$ in 2017 and $7.0 \%$ in 2018.
- In 2017 and 2018, inequality of income distributionwas higher among those below 65 years old. The difference between the two groups - below 65 years old and above 65 years old was substantial (Figure 7).


## Relative at-risk-of-poverty gap

- The relative-at-risk-of-poverty gap was $33.4 \%$ in 2017 and $32.4 \%$ in 2018.


## Inequality of income distribution - Gini coefficient

- The value of the Gini coefficient was 36.8 in 2017 and 35.4 in 2018.


## At-persistent-risk-of-poverty rate $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ of the median)

- At-persistent-risk-of-poverty rate was $17.5 \%$ in 2017 and $17.3 \%$ in 2018.


## In-work poverty (full /part time)

- In-work poverty was $17.9 \%$ in 2017 and $16.5 \%$ in 2018.


## Share of social transfers

- The share of social transfers - including pensions - declined from 19.4\% in 2017 to 18.1\% in 2018.
- The share of social transfers - excluding pensions -was 3.3\% in 2017 and 3.2\% in 2018 (Figure 8).

Figure 7: Inequality of income distribution, quintile ratio $\mathrm{S80} /$


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

Figure 8: Share of social transfers (\%)


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

## Efficiency and effectiveness of social transfers

- At-risk-of-poverty rate before social transfers including retirement pension and family pension - was 40.4\% in 2017 and $39.0 \%$ in 2018.
- At-risk-of-poverty rate before social transfers - excluding retirement pension and family pension - was 27.1\% in 2017 and 26.3\% in 2018 (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Efficiency and effectiveness of social transfers (\%)


Figure 10: Social protection budget

$2016 \quad 2017 \quad 2018 \quad 2019 \quad 2020$

Source: State Social Services (2016 - 2020)

Figure 11: Economic aid and social assistance expenditure
$\rightarrow$ Economic aid $\quad \rightarrow$ Social assistance for persons with


## Number of beneficiaries with disabilities

- The number of persons with disabilities who benefited from State Social Services increased from 66,854 in 2016 to 73,200 in 2020.
- The number of personal assistants supporting persons with disabilities was 19,402 in 2020.
- The number of the blind, paraplegics and quadriplegicswho benefited social services increased from 2016 to 2019. The opposite pattern was found in 2020. The number of the blind who received social services declined from 12,400 in 2019 to 12,185 in 2020. The number of paraplegics and quadriplegics declined from 6,666 in 2019 to 6,549 in 2020.
- The number of persons with other types of disabilities increased from 51,967 in 2019 to 54,466 in 2020 (Figure 12).
- The number of persons with disabilities (work-related disabilities) who benefited from the social insurance program was 74,391 .


## Number of beneficiaries: residential services

- The number of childrenwho received residential services decreased from 645 in 2016 to 516 in 2020.
- The number of older adults who received residential services increased from 294 in 2016 to 532 in 2019. The number decreased to 520 in 2020.
- The number of persons with disabilities who benefitedresidential services decreased from 380 in 2016 to 281 in 2017. The number of beneficiaries increased in 2018 and 2019 (Figure 13).


## Number of beneficiaries: daily services

- The number of children, older adults, and persons with disabilities who received daily services increased from 2016 to 2020. The comparison across groups shows that the increase was substantial especially for children, particularly during 2020. Figure 14 presents the number of beneficiaries by group during 2016 2020.

Figure 12: Number of beneficiaries with disabilities


Figure 13: Number of beneficiaries - residential services - by group
$\rightarrow$ Children $\rightarrow$ Older adults - - Persons with disabilities


Figure 14: Number of beneficiaries - daily services - by group


## Number of beneficiaries: Roma community

- The data show that it is mainly Roma children who benefit from State Social Services. The number of children increased significantly from 675 in 2016 to 2,080 in 2017 - the year with the highest number of beneficiaries. The number of older adultswho benefited from State Social Services increased from 81 in 2016 to 122 in 2020. The number of persons with disabilities increased from 23 in 2016 to 60 in 2020 (Figure 15).


## Victims of domestic violence

- The number of victims of domestic violence recorded by State Social Services - increased from 129 in 2016 to 533 in 2020 - a multifold increase in a period of five years (Figure 16).

Figure 15: Number of beneficiaries - Roma community - by group


Figure 16: Victims of domestic violence

$2016 \begin{array}{cccc}2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 \\ & \text { Source: State Social Services } & (2016 & -2020)\end{array}$

## Employment and skills

## Long-term unemployment rate

- The long-term unemployment rate declined from $10.3 \%$ in 2016 to $7.6 \%$ in 2019.
- The gender gap declined from 0.7 percentage points in 2016 to 0.1 percentage points in 2019. In 2019, the long-term unemployment rate was $7.7 \%$ for men and $7.6 \%$ for women (Figure 17).

Figure 17: Long-term unemployment rate by gender (\%)
■ Total ■ Men $\square$ Women


Source: Labor Force Survey (2016-2019)

Figure 18: Share of long-term unemployed in the total number of the unemployed by gender (\%)


Figure 19: Very long-term unemployment rate by gender (\%)


## Activity rate

- Activity rate increased from $66.2 \%$ in 2016 to 69.6\% in 2019.
- During 2016 - 2019, the activity rate was higher for men. For example, the activity rate in 2019 was $77.6 \%$ for men and $61.6 \%$ for women - a gender gap of 16 percentage points (Figure 20).


## Employment rate by age group and gender

- The employment rate for the age group 15-64 years old increased from 55.9\% in 2016 to 61.2\% in 2019.
- During 2016-2019, the employment rate was higher among the age group 25-54 years old, compared to other age groups. Meanwhile, lower employment rates were found among the age group $15-24$ years old ( $65^{+}$years old not included).
- The employment rate was lower among women of all age groups.
- In 2019, the employment rate for women between 15 and 64 years old was $54.4 \%$; meanwhile, it was $68.2 \%$ for menbetween 15 and 64 years old - a difference of 13.8 percentage points. Figure 21 presents the difference between women and men for the year 2019.


## Employment rate by region and gender

- In 2016, the regions with the highest employment rate were Elbasan (57.7\%), Fier (54.4\%), and Berat (54.0\%). Meanwhile, the regions with the lowest employmentratewere Kukës(42.5\%), Tirana(43.1\%), and Vlora (45.2\%). In 2019, Berat (65.7\%), Korça ( $63.2 \%$ ), and Gjirokastra ( $63.0 \%$ ) were the regions with the highest employment rate; meanwhile, Lezha ( $41.7 \%$ ), Dibra ( $45.7 \%$ ), and Durrës ( $47.5 \%$ ) were the regions with the lowest employment rate.
- In 2019, the regions with the highest employment rate for men were Berat ( $71.6 \%$ ), Gjirokastra ( $71.6 \%$ ), and Korça ( $70.9 \%$ ); meanwhile, the regions with the highest employment rate


Figure 21: Employment rate by gender and age group (\%)


Figure 22: Employment rate by region and gender (\%)


Source: Labor Force Survey (2019)
for women were Berat (59.8\%), Elbasan (56.4\%), and Kukës $(55.7 \%)$.In 2019, the regions with the lowest employment rate for men were Dibra ( $45.7 \%$ ), Lezha ( $47.1 \%$ ), and Tirana ( $54.7 \%$ ), and the regions with the lowest employment rate for women were Lezha (36.4\%), Durrës (39.1\%), and Tirana ( $41.0 \%$ ). Figure 22 presents employment rate by region and gender for the year 2019.

## Share of self-employed

- The share ofself-employed declined from $34.9 \%$ in 2016 to $32.3 \%$ in 2019
- The share of self-employed was higher among men. In 2019, the share of self-employed was $39.6 \%$ for men and $23.1 \%$ for women. The age group 25-29 years old comprised the lowest share of self-employed.


## Share of those working (with no pay) in the family business

- The share of those working (with no pay) in the family business declined from 23.9\% in 2016 to $22.0 \%$ in 2019.
- The share of women working (with no pay) in the family business was higher among all age groups, except women between 15 and 29 years old. Figure 23 displays the share of those working (with no pay) in the family business by gender for the year 2019.


## Share of the employed with less than 15 work hours per week

- The share of those employed less than 15 work hours per week declined from $3.3 \%$ in 2016 to 2.4\% in 2019.
- The share of those employed less than 15 work hours per week was higher for women than men. The gender gap was substantial. In 2019, the share of those employed less than 15 work hours per week was $3.2 \%$ for women and $1.8 \%$ for men (Figure 24).

Figure 23: Share of those working (with no pay) in the family
business by gender and age group (\%)

- Men Women


Source: Labor Force Survey (2019)

Figure 24: Share of the employed with less than 15 work hours per week by gender (\%)


## Household labour intensity

- Household labour intensity declined from 14.4\% in 2017 to 13.3\% in 2018.


## Share of the informally employed

- The share of the informally employed declined from $40.1 \%$ in 2016 to $37.5 \%$ in 2019.
- The gender gap disappeared in 2019 (Figure 25).


## Sectoral employment structure

- During 2016-2019, agriculture constituted the main economic activity. Women were more engaged in the following economic activities: agriculture; production;and public administration and social services. Men were more engaged in the following economic activities: construction; extractive industry, energy, gas, and water supply; and trade, transportation, hotels, business and administrative services. Figure 26 displays sectoral employment structure by gender in 2019.


## Unemployment rate by age group and gender

- The unemployment rate declined from $15.2 \%$ in 2016 to $11.5 \%$ in 2019. The declining pattern was found among women and men.
- During 2016-2019, the unemployment rate was higher among the age group 15 - 24 years old. The gender gapgradually diminished. In 2019, the unemployment rate for the age group $15+$ was $11.6 \%$ for men and $11.4 \%$ for women.
- The unemployment rate for persons between the ages of 25 and 29 years old was higher among women. The reverse pattern was found for persons between the ages of 15 and 24 years old - the unemployment rate was higher among men. Figure 27 presents the unemployment rate by gender in 2019.

Figure 25: Share of the informally employed by gender (\%)


Figure 26: Sectoral employment structure by gender (\%)


Figure 27: Unemployment rate by gender and age group (\%)


## Share of the unemployed who gave up on job search

- The share of the unemployed who gave up on job search declined from $10.7 \%$ in 2016 to 8.2\% in 2017. It increased to $8.7 \%$ in 2018 and $9.2 \%$ in 2019.
- The share of the unemployed men who gave up on job search declined from 2016 to 2017, to increase again in 2018 and 2019. In 2019, the share of the unemployed men who gave up on job search was higher than in 2016 - 15.2\% vs. $14.5 \%$. The share of the unemployed women who gave up on job search declined from 8.1\% in 2016 to $5.8 \%$ in 2019 (Figure 28).


## Share of the unemployed not registered with the National Employment Service (NES)

- The share of the unemployed not registered with the NES increased from $46.7 \%$ in 2016 to 63.4\% in 2019.
- During 2016 - 2019, the share of the unemployed not registered with the NES was higher among men (Figure 29).

Figure 28: Share of the unemployed who gave up on job search by gender (\%)


Figure 29: Share of the unemployed not registered with the NES by gender (\%)


## Share of the unemployed included in Active Labor Market Programs

- The share of registered unemployed jobseek ers included in Active Labor Market Programs increased from $33 \%$ in 2016 to $63 \%$ in 2019. The share decreased to $38 \%$ in 2020.
- Figure 30 displays the share of registered unemployed jobseekers among recipients of economic aid, Roma and Egyptians, and persons with disabilities. The share of unemployed jobseekers included in Active Labor Market Programs was higher among persons with disabilities. In 2019, 56\% of persons with disabilities who were unemployed jobseekers were part of Active Labor Market Programs. The share was lower for Roma and Egyptians, and recipients of economic aid.
- In 2020, the share of unemployed jobseekers included in Active Labor Market Programs declined for all groups: The share of Roma and Egyptians declined from 24\% in 2019 to 13\% in 2020, the share of recipients of economic aid declined from $12 \%$ in 2019 to $6 \%$ in 2020, and the share of persons with disabilities declined from 56\% in 2019 to 28\% in 2020 (Figure 30).

Figure 30: Share of the unemployed included in Active Labor
Market Programs by group
-ー Recipients of economic aid - Roma and egyptians

- Persons with disabilities

ource: National Agency for Employment and Skills (2016-2019)


## Health

## Life expectancy by age group and gender

- In 2017 and 2018, women had higher life expectancy than men. In 2018, life expectancy - at 0 years old - was 80.5 years for women and 77.4 years for men (Figure 31).


## Self-perceived medical status

- Self-perceived medical status improved slightly from 2017 to 2018.
- In 2017 and 2018, women - compared to men were less likely to perceive their medical status as "very good or good" (Figure 32).

Figure 31: Life expectancy by gender and age group

- 0 years old $1-4$ years old $\quad 60-64$ years old


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017 - 2018)

Figure 32: Self-perceived medical status by gender (\%)

- Very good or good
- Fair - Poor or very poor


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017 - 2018)

## Cervical cancer

- The number of cases of cervical cancer in 2016, 2017 , and 2018 was 118,124 , and 124 , respectively.
- The incidence rate (per 100,000 women) of cervical cancer was 8.3 in 2016, 8.7 in 2017, and 8.7 in 2018.


## Colorectal cancer

- The number of cases of colorectal cancer was 321 in 2016, 429 in 2017, and 392 in 2018.
- During 2016-2018, the number of new cases was higher among men than women.
- The incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer increased from 11.2 in 2016 to 13.7 in 2018.
- During 2016-2018, the incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer was higher among men than women. In 2018, the incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of colorectal cancer was 16.8 for men and 10.5 for women.


## Tuberculosis

- The number of tuberculosis cases declined from 413 in 2016 to 240 in 2020.
- The incidence rate (per 100,000 people) of tuberculosis declined from 14.4 in 2016 to 8.5 in 2020.


## Infant mortality rate ( 0 -1 years old $) / \mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ live births"

- Referring to the Institute of Statistics, child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate increased from 2017 to 2019 (Figure 33).
- Referring to the Ministry of Health and Social Protection, child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate were lower in 2019 than 2016 (Figure 34).

[^3]Figure 33: Child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate
-ー Infant mortality rate ( 0 - 1 years old) / 1,000 live births

- Child mortality rate (o-5 years old) / 1,000 live births
- Netral mortality rate / 1,000 live births


| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: | Ministry of Health and Social Protection | (2016-2019) | (INSTAT data) |

Figure 34: Child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate

- In - Infant mortality rate (o-1 years old) / 1,000 live births
-- Child mortality rate (o-5 years old) / 1,000 live births
는 Netral mortality rate / 1,000 live births



## Maternal mortality rate / 100,000 live births

- During 2016-2020, maternal mortality rate fluctuated considerably. It increased from 3.5 in 2016 to 10.9 in 2017. It declined to 3.9 in 2018, and it increased to 8.1 in 2019. In 2020, maternal mortality rate was 4.1 - a value higher than in 2016 (Figure 35).


## Number of children born with Down syndrome and congenital abnormalities

- The number of children born with Down syndrome in 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020 was $25,23,16,15$, and 10 , respectively; The number of children born with congenital abnormalities was $345,408,302,255$, and 243 , respectively (Figure 36).


## Number of new cases of breast cancer

- In 2016, the number of new cases of breast cancer was 672 . The number increased to 713 in 2017. It decreased to 708 in 2018 and 678 in 2019.


## Number of abortions

- The number of abortions declined from 5,410 in 2016 to 4,425 in 2020


## Hospitalisation rates

- The average length of stay declined from 5.3 in 2016 to 4.5 in 2020 - the decline occurred in 2020. A similar pattern was found for the percentage of bed usage and bed occupancy rate. The percentage of bed usage declined from 49.9 in 2019 to 31.4 in 2020. The bed occupancy rate declined from 34.4 in 2019 to 25.4 in 2020.

Figure 35: Maternal mortality rate

-     - Maternal mortality rate / 100000 live births

$20162017 \quad 2018$
2020

Source: Ministry of Health and Social Protection (2016-2020)

Figure 36: Number of children born with Down syndrome and congenital abnormalities
-- Number of children born with Down Syndrome
-- Number of children born with congenital abnormalities


## Education and training

## Gross enrollment ratio

- The gross enrollment ratio in kindergartens declined from 81.5\% during 2016-2017 to 79.9\% during 2019-2020. A declining pattern was also found for the two other levels of education 9 -year education and high school education. The gross enrollment ratio at the university level increased from 56\% during 2016-2017 to 59.5\% during 2019-2020 (Figure 37).


## School dropout rate basic education

- The dropout rate declined from $0.57 \%$ during the academic year 2016-2017 to 0.49\% during the academic year 2019-2020. During 20162020, the dropout rate was higher for boys than girls (Figure 38).
- The dropout rate declined in urban and rural areas. During the academic year 2019-2020, the dropout rate was $0.50 \%$ in urban areas and $0.49 \%$ in rural areas.


## School dropout rate upper secondary education

- The dropout rate decreased from $3.35 \%$ during the academic year 2016-2017 to $1.76 \%$ during the academic year 2019-2020. The dropout rate declined for both girls and boys. During 2016-2020, the dropout rate was higher for boys than girls (Figure 39).
- During 2016-2020, the dropout rate was higher in rural areas. During the academic year 20192020, the percentage of dropouts was 1.18 in urban areas and 3.4 in rural areas.

Figure 37: Gross enrollment ratio (\%)



Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

Figure 38: School dropout rate basic education by gender(\%)


Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

Figure 39: School dropout rate - upper secondary education by gender (\%)


Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

## Number of children with disabilities (physical and mental) in the education system

- The number of children with disabilities in preschool education, basic education, and upper secondary education increased from 3,771 during the period 2016-2017 to 4,686 during the period 2018-2019, and it declined to 4,573 during the period 2019-2020.The number of children with disabilities attending special schools declined from 659 during the period 2016-2017 to 527 during the period 2019-2020 (Figure 40).
- The number of children with disabilities attending basic education declined from 3,664 during the period 2018-2019 to 3,550 during the period 2019-2020 (Figure 41).


## Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils preuniversity education

- The number of Roma pupils attending preuniversity education increased from 4,537 during the period 2016-2017 to 4,929 during 2018-2019. The number decreased to 4,862 during the period 2019-2020.
- The number of Egyptian pupils attending preuniversity education increased from 8,148 during the period 2016-2017 to 11,084 during the period 2018-2019. The number declined to 9,653 during the period 2019-2020 (Figure 42).

Figure 40: Number of children with disabilities in the education system


Figure 41: Number of children with disabilities attending different levels of education


Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

Figure 42: Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils attending preuniversity education

2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20

Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

## Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils preschool education

- The number of Roma pupils attending preschool education decreased from 962 during the period 2016-2017 to 842 during the period 2017-2018. The number increased to 910 during the period 2018-2019 and 1,137 during the period 2019-2020.
- The number of Egyptian pupils attending preschool education increased from 1,567 during the period 2016-2017 to 1,859 during the period 2019-2020 (Figure 43).


## Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils basic education

- The number of Roma pupils attending basic education increased from 3,092 during the period 2016-2017 to 3,758 during the period 20182019. The number decreased to 3,550 during 2019-2020. A similar pattern was found among Egyptian pupils. During 2019-2020, the number of Egyptian pupils attending basic education was 7,144 (Figure 44).


## Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils upper secondary education

- The number of Roma pupils attending upper secondary education declined from 483 during the academic year 2016-2017 to 175 during the academic year 2019-2020. The declining pattern was present during 2016-2020.
- The number of Egyptian pupils attending upper secondary education increased from 460 during the academic year 2016-2017 to 1,042 during the academic year 2018-2019. The number declined to 650 during the period 20192020 (Figure 45).

Figure 43: Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils attending preschool education


| 2016-17 2017-18 2019-20 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source: Ministry of Education Sports and Youth (2016-2020) |  |

Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

Figure 44: Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils attending basic education


Figure 45: Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils attending upper secondary education


Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

## Access to free textbooks

- Access to free textbooks declined significantly after the academic year 2017-2018. The decline was present among Roma, Egyptian, blind, and orphaned pupils. For instance, the number of orphaned pupils who received free textbooks during 2016-2017 was 2,208. The number declined to 978 during 2019-2020 (Figure 46).


## Education expenditure as percentage of GDP

- Education expenditure for preuniversity education declined from 2.34\% in 2016 to 1.90\% in 2020. A declining pattern was found for both levels of education - basic education and upper secondary education. Specifically, education expenditure for basic education declined from $1.81 \%$ in 2016 to $1.46 \%$ in 2020, and education expenditure for upper secondary education declined from $0.53 \%$ in 2016 to $0.44 \%$ in 2020 (Figure 47).


Source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

Figure 47: Education expenditure as percentage of GDP (\%)


2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20

## Basic needs

## Financial burden imposed by housing-related costs

- Financial burden imposed by housing-related costs decreased from $9.2 \%$ in 2017 to $6 \%$ in 2018.


## Possession of household appliances

- The possession of computers increased from 39.1\% in 2017 to $42.4 \%$ in 2018. The possession of other household appliances - phone, television, and washing machine - changed only slightly (Figure 48).


## Quality ofclothing

- Over time, the replacement of old clothes with new ones became less common - it declined from $37.0 \%$ in 2017 to $25.3 \%$ in 2018. Similarly, having two pairs of shoes became less common - it declined from $12.0 \%$ in 2017 to $7.7 \%$ in 2018 (Figure 49).


## Percentage of social housing beneficiaries: Roma families

- The percentage of Roma families that benefited from social housing (out of all beneficiaries) was 66.5 in 2016. The percentage reached 92 in 2017. ${ }^{12}$ The percentage of Roma families that benefited from social housing programs in 2018 and 2019 was 33.5 and 30.2, respectively. In 2020, Roma families constituted $24.2 \%$ of social housing beneficiaries (Figure 50).


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

Figure 49: Quality of clothing (\%)


Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017 - 2018)

Figure 50: Percentage of social housing beneficiaries: Roma families


## Social housing budget

- Social housing budget increased from 0.424billion lekëin 2016 to 0.526billion lekë in 2019. In 2020 - responding to the earthquake of 2019 - the budget increased to 3.5 billion lekë (Figure 51).


## Social housing budget as percentage of GDP

- Social housing budget comprised 0.03\% of the GDP during 2016-2019. It increased to 0.22\% in 2020 (Figure 52).

Figure 51: Social housing budget (in billion lekë)


Source: Ministry of Finance and Economy (2016 - 2020)

Figure 52: Social housing budget as percentage of GDP


## Human rights

## Number of beneficiaries

- The number of beneficiaries from State Social Services increased from 10,354 in 2016 to 16,642 in 2020. The largest increase in the number of beneficiaries occurred after 2018 (Figure 53).


## Number of requests made by women and members of the Roma community

- The number of requests addressed to State Social Services by women and members of the Roma communitywas lower in 2020 than in 2016. The number of requests made by members of the Roma community declined substantially, from 177 in 2019 to 99 in 2020 (Figure 54).


## Number of beneficiaries by group

- During 2016-2020, children constituted the main beneficiaries of State Social Services. The number of children who received social services was 22,600. Other groups included women $(10,583)$, youth $(9,899)$, persons with disabilities $(9,456)$, and older adults $(9,171)$ (Figure 55).

Figure 53: Number of beneficiaries


201620172018201920

Source: State Social Services (2016-2020)

Figure 54: Number of requests made by women and members of the Roma community

- Number of requests by women
- Number of requests by members of Roma community


| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Source: State Social Services | $(2016$ | $-2020)$ |  |

Figure 55: Number of beneficiaries by group


Source: State Social Services (2016-2020)

## Number of requests by region

- During 2016-2020, the regions that addressed most requests to State Social Services were Shkodra, Elbasan, and Tirana. The regions with the lowest number of requests were Dibra, Kukës, and Berat (Figure 56).

Figure 56: Number of requests by region


Source: State Social Services (2016-2020)

Figure 57: Number of cases of domestic violence addressed by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic Violence


Figure 58: Number of neglected children who received
supportive services by gender


Source: State Social Services (2016-2020)

## Applications for legal aid

- The number of applications for legal aid in creased substantially - from 67 applications in 2019 to 4,372 applications in 2020. The number of approved applications increased from 359 in 2017 to 4,348 in 2020. The percentage of cases won was 95.5 in 2019 and 99.4 in 2020 (Figure 59).


## Share of persons possessinga birth certificate

- The share of personspossessinga birth certificate increased from 2016 to 2020. Figure 60 displays the percentage of women and men, 0 - 18 years old, possessinga birth certificate.


## Number of criminal cases (completed) and civil cases (completed)

- The number of criminal cases (completed) fell from 17,304 in 2016 to 11,531 in 2019.
- The number of civil cases (completed) fell from 74,297 in 2016 to 52,612 in 2019 (Figure 61).

Figure 59: Access to free legal aid


Figure 60: Share of persons possessing a birth certificate by gender (\%)
$\rightarrow$ Men (0-18 years old) - Women ( $0-18$ years old $)$


| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Source: Ministry of Interior (2016-2020) |  |  |  |

Figure 61: Number of criminal cases (completed) and civil cases (completed)

- Number of criminal cases (completed)
-     - Number of civil cases (completed)


Source: Ministry of Justice (2016-2019)

## Crime rate (per 100,000 people)

- The crime rate increased from 143 in 2018 to 177 in 2019 (Figure 62).


## Number of juvenile offenders

- The number of juvenile offenders declined from 562 in 2016 to 280 in 2019 (Figure 63).

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source: Ministry of fustice (2016-2019) |  |  |

Figure 62: Crime rate

늠 Crime rate (per 100,000 people)


Source: Ministry of Justice (2016-2019)

Figure 63: Number of juvenile offenders


Source: Number of juvenile offenders (2016-2019)

## Conclusions


#### Abstract

This report presented data on social inclusion in Albania across six policy domains, including financial poverty and social protection, employment and skills, health, education and training, basic needs, and human rights. The report relied on data reported by state institutions to provide an understanding of social inclusion in the country.


The analysis revealed a picture that is quite diverse. While some indicators have improved over time (e.g., very long-term unemployment rate, school dropout rate in upper secondary education, the number of Egyptian pupils attending preschool education, the number of individuals who benefit from State Social Services, the number of applications for legal aid), others have not changed significantly (e.g., possession of household appliances including phone, television, and washing machine) or have even worsened (e.g.,child mortality rate, the number of Roma pupils attending upper secondary education, access to free textbooks, education expenditure for preuniversity education, the number of cases of domestic violence addressed by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic Violence). Findings highlight areas that need attention for each policy domain. They call for greater attention to those indicators that have worsened, first, to understand why they have worsened and, second, to design policy interventionswith the goal of reversing the pattern.

The analysis indicated that some indicators worsened especially in 2020. For instance, the share of registered unemployed jobseekers included in Active Labor Market Programs increased from 33\% in 2016 to $63 \%$ in 2019, and it decreased to $38 \%$ in 2020. Similar patterns were also found among the
number of children with disabilities in preschool education, basic education, and upper secondary education, and the number of Roma pupils attending preuniversity education. This trend could presumably be the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic, calling for policy interventions that seek to ameliorate the negative effects of the pandemic on social inclusion.

The Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020) established eight thematic priorities for the period 2016-2020. To date, the first three thematic priorities have received more attention. Most efforts have been placed on collecting administrative data, establishing abaseline of social inclusion indicators, and publishing a glossary of terms for social inclusion. Other thematic priorities such as the promotion of policy dialogue on social inclusion through conferences and periodic events or the preparation of an annual report on social inclusion - based on quantitative and qualitative data - have received less attention. While the purpose of this report is not to assess the extent that thematic priorities have been accomplished, it draws attention to the importance of undertaking a series of measures to promote social inclusion in Albania. The data presented here can be used to initiate discussions that focus on what can be done to promote social inclusion across different policy domains.


## Recommendations

## Monitoring social inclusion across policy domains over time

- The efforts of monitoring social inclusion should extend over time, beyond the period 2015-2020. Rather than following a short route (i.e., institutional actors are asked to report data on social inclusion indicators), a longer and more sustainable route should be used (i.e., institutional actorscollaborate during the process data collection, analysis, and reporting).

Addressing methodological concerns

- Understanding social inclusion in Albania and how it changes over time requires greater collaboration with state departments, ensuring that they collect data on social inclusion indicators. To conduct rigorous work in the future, it is important to address methodological challenges - through close collaboration with state departments - before proceeding with data collection. Some of the challenges that were faced while preparing this report were that the responsibility for data collection had shifted from one institution to another, institutions did not have data on some indicators or used different definitions of indicators, and institutional actors were not aware of the importance of using social inclusion indicators. It is important to address these challenges in the future.


## Advancing the efforts of collecting and disaggregating administrative data by gender, ethnicity, and disability

- The analysis presented in this report revealed that data are not always disaggregated by gender, ethnicity, and disability. This was especially the case for ethnicity and disability (see e.g., indicators that concern access to justice). There were also instances of indicators for which there were no data (e.g., indicators on the quality of housing, neighborhood, and nutrition; hygiene; access to financial services; household indebtedness rate). The data presented in this report should be used to initiate a discussion on the importance of data disaggregation, and the ways that state institutions can advance their efforts of collecting data on social inclusion indicators and disaggregating the databy gender, ethnicity, and disability.


## Examining the reasons behind data patterns

- The data presented in the report identify patterns.They do not explain why patterns change - improve, worsen, or remain the same. Future work should focus on the why - explain data patterns and use evidence to draw lessons and inform policy interventions.


## Reviewing thematic priorities and setting the social inclusion agenda for the years to come

- It is important to review thematic priorities established in the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020) - to differentiate between those priorities that were fulfilled, were not fulfilled, and those priorities that werefulfilled only in part. The review can be used to draw lessons and initiate a discussion on the next social inclusion agenda, in line with the European Pillar of Social Rights. The review can inform the future work of the Thematic Group on Social Inclusion and Protection - an Integrated Policy Management Group led by the Ministry of Health and Social Protection.It is also important to consider indicators that capture recent development challenges - indicators that were not listed in the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016-2020). These indicators can concern aspects that relate to, for instance, access to COVID-19 vaccines and access to social housing programs following the earthquake of November 2019.


## Appendix A

## Tables ${ }^{13}$

## Financial poverty and social protection

Data source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018) - Institute of Statistics

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by gender (\%) |  |  |
| Men | 23.5 | 23 |
| Women | 23.9 | 23.8 |
| Total | 23.7 | 23.4 |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by age group (\%) | 29.6 | 29.6 |
| O-17 years old | 23.7 | 23.2 |
| 18-64 | 13.4 | 14 |
| 65+ | 23.7 | 23.4 |
| Total |  |  |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by household type (\%) | 26.9 | 27.0 |
| Families with dependent children | 15.9 | 15.2 |
| Families without dependent children |  |  |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by the work intensity of household members (\%) | 61.8 | 64.2 |
| At-risk-of poverty rate for persons living in households with very low work intensity | 42.0 |  |
| At-risk-of poverty rate for persons living in households with low work intensity | 34 |  |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by the most frequent activity status at the labour market and |  | 17.9 |
| gender (\%) | 16.5 |  |
| Employed | 25.3 | 25.7 |
| Outside the labor force | 38.8 | 37.1 |
| Unemployed | 13.4 | 14.2 |
| Retired | 25.6 | 27.9 |
| Inactive |  |  |
| At-risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status (\%) | 23.7 | 23.5 |
| Owner | 22.4 | 19.2 |
| Tenant |  |  |

[^4]| At-risk-of-poverty threshold (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At-risk-of-poverty threshold | 145017 | 160742 |
| Inequality of income distribution, quintile ratio S80/S20 (\%) |  |  |
| Total | 7.5 | 7 |
| Above 65 years old | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| Below 65 years old | 8 | 7.5 |
| Relative at-risk-of-poverty gap |  |  |
| Relative at-risk-of-poverty gap (\%) | 33.4 | 32.4 |
| Efficiency and effectiveness of social transfers (\%) |  |  |
| At-risk-of-poverty before social transfers (including retirement pension and family pension) | 40.4 | 39 |
| At-risk-of-poverty before social transfers (excluding retirement pension and family pension) | 27.1 | 26.3 |
| Inequality of income distribution - Gini coefficient |  |  |
| GINI | 36.8 | 35.4 |
| At-persistent-risk-of-poverty rate (50\% of the median) (\%) |  |  |
| Below 50\% of the median | 17.5 | 17.3 |
| In-work poverty (full /part time) (\%) |  |  |
| Employment (18 years old and above) | 17.9 | 16.5 |
| Share of social transfers (other than pensions) (\%) |  |  |
| Social transfers (including pensions) | 19.4 | 18.1 |
| Social transfers (excluding pensions) | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Household labour intensity |  |  |
| Low labor intensity (\%) | 14.4 | 13.3 |

Data source: State Social Services (2016 - 2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social <br> protection <br> expenditure | $741,249,353$ | $878,773,470$ | $938,411,090$ | $917,583,979$ | $945,175,000$ |
| Economic aid <br> expenditure | $4,523,719,960$ | $4,811,384,559$ | $4,403,154,740$ | $4,277,240,000$ | $5,453,863,398$ |
| Social <br> assistance <br> expenditure | $15,465,373,509,00$ | $15,652,615,441$ | $16,396,715,060$ | $15,884,500,000$ | $18,621,593,001$ |
| Number of <br> beneficiaries <br> with disabilities | 66,854 | 67,056 | 68,544 | 71,032 | 73,200 |
| The blind | 12,193 | 12,107 | 12,124 | 12,400 | 12,185 |
| Para- <br> quadriplegics | 6,310 | 6,358 | 6,494 | 6,666 | 6,549 |


| Other disabilities | 48,351 | 48,591 | 49,926 | 51,967 | 54,466 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of adoptions | 24 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 34 |
| Number of beneficiaries: residential services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 645 | 522 | 556 | 568 | 516 |
| Older adults | 294 | 372 | 415 | 532 | 520 |
| Persons with disabilities | 380 | 281 | 297 | 386 | 381 |
| Number of beneficiaries: daily services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 2413 | 3459 | 3203 | 4040 | 6646 |
| Older adults | 1096 | 1386 | 1317 | 1596 | 1639 |
| Persons with disabilities | 1209 | 1514 | 1506 | 1671 | 1824 |
| Number of beneficiaries: Roma community |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 675 | 2080 | 1652 | 1817 | 1783 |
| Older adults | 81 | 75 | 110 | 123 | 122 |
| Persons with disabilities | 23 | 32 | 43 | 59 | 60 |
| Children in foster care |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 209 | 249 | 297 | 357 | 374 |
| Children with disabilities | 14 | 13 | 26 | 11 | 14 |
| Victims of domestic violence | 129 | 209 | 304 | 464 | 533 |
| Victims of trafficking | 11 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 |

## Employment and skills

Data source: Labor Force Survey (2016-2019) - Institute of Statistics

| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term unemployment rate |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10.3 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 7.6 |
| Men | 10.6 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 7.7 |
| Women | 9.9 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 7.6 |
| Share of long-term unemployed in total number of the unemployed |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 66.2 | 65.0 | 67.4 | 63.4 |
| Men | 64.8 | 63.4 | 65.7 | 63.0 |
| Women | 68.1 | 67.6 | 69.8 | 63.9 |
| Very long-term unemployment rate |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.0 |
| Men | 7.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| Women | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 4.5 |
| Activity rate |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 66.2 | 66.8 | 68.3 | 69.6 |
| Men | 74.1 | 75.8 | 76.9 | 77.6 |
| Women | 58.3 | 57.7 | 59.7 | 61.6 |
| Employment rate |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 15-64 | 55.9 | 57.4 | 59.5 | 61.2 |
| 15-24 | 20.2 | 21.6 | 25.7 | 26.7 |
| 25-54 | 69.7 | 71.1 | 73.7 | 75.0 |
| 55-64 | 54.8 | 55.5 | 58.2 | 60.4 |
| $65^{+}$ | 10.1 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 15.4 |
| 20-64 | 62.1 | 63.9 | 65.6 | 67.1 |
| 25-29 | 59.0 | 59.4 | 63.9 | 68.3 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 15-64 | 61.9 | 64.3 | 66.7 | 68.2 |
| 15-24 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 30.6 | 31.2 |
| 25-54 | 76.3 | 79.0 | 80.7 | 80.9 |
| 55-64 | 67.1 | 69.1 | 71.4 | 73.7 |
| $65+$ | 14.3 | 15.9 | 18.7 | 19.6 |
| 20-64 | 69.4 | 72.1 | 73.9 | 74.7 |
| 25-29 | 65.4 | 69.6 | 73.4 | 74.6 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 15-64 | 49.7 | 50.3 | 52.4 | 54.4 |
| 15-24 | 16.8 | 17.7 | 20.4 | 22.2 |



| Lezhë | 42.2 | 43.9 | 40.2 | 36.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shkodër | 44.5 | 46.6 | 50.2 | 51.2 |
| Tiranë | 37.0 | 38.4 | 38.7 | 41.0 |
| Vlorë | 35.3 | 35.6 | 40.1 | 48.6 |

Employment structure by professional status

| Total | 41.2 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 45.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total $(15+)$ | 42.3 | 45.4 | 46.1 | 47.7 |
| $15-64$ | 42.7 | 45.8 | 46.5 | 48.3 |
| $20-64$ | 47.8 | 56.1 | 47.6 | 41.3 |
| $15-24$ | 58.9 | 59.7 | 60.8 | 63.6 |
| $25-29$ | 44.2 | 46.6 | 48.9 | 52.3 |
| $25-54$ | 32.4 | 35.5 | 34.6 | 34.2 |
| $55-64$ | 7.0 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39.7 | 42.3 | 42.5 | 44.0 |
| Total $(15+)$ | 41.0 | 43.6 | 44.0 | 45.9 |
| $15-64$ | 41.5 | 44.0 | 44.4 | 46.4 |
| $20-64$ | 41.6 | 49.8 | 43.8 | 39.7 |
| $15-24$ | 54.4 | 54.7 | 54.2 | 58.8 |
| $25-29$ | 42.2 | 44.1 | 45.7 | 49.7 |
| $25-54$ | 36.6 | 38.9 | 38.6 | 37.0 |
| $55-64$ | 8.2 | $\ldots$. | 13.4 | 9.9 |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  |


| Women | 43.0 | 46.4 | 47.0 | 47.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total $(15+$ ) | 44.0 | 47.8 | 48.7 | 49.9 |
| $15-64$ | 44.2 | 48.0 | 49.1 | 50.5 |
| $20-64$ | 57.5 | 66.7 | 53.6 | 43.5 |
| $15-24$ | 65.2 | 67.1 | 69.9 | 69.7 |
| $25-29$ | 46.5 | 49.6 | 52.6 | 55.2 |
| $25-54$ | 25.3 | 29.7 | 28.5 | 29.7 |
| $55-64$ | 9.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  |


| Share of self-employed |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 34.9 | 35.8 | 34.0 | 32.3 |
| Total $(15+)$ | 34.1 | 35.2 | 33.5 | 31.1 |
| $15-64$ | 34.4 | 35.4 | 33.6 | 31.2 |
| $20-64$ | 16.5 | 18.4 | 22.2 | 21.9 |
| $15-24$ | 19.8 | 21.0 | 21.2 | 17.0 |
| $25-29$ | 33.9 | 35.5 | 33.1 | 29.1 |
| $25-54$ | 42.6 | 41.9 | 41.1 | 43.1 |
| $55-64$ |  |  |  |  |


| $65+$ | 58.9 | 52.2 | 44.6 | 55.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15 +) | 42.0 | 42.6 | 41.6 | 39.6 |
| $15-64$ | 41.1 | 42.0 | 41.0 | 38.3 |
| $20-64$ | 41.6 | 42.4 | 41.3 | 38.6 |
| $15-24$ | 20.3 | 21.7 | 25.0 | 22.2 |
| $25-29$ | 23.5 | 25.2 | 26.1 | 19.6 |
| $25-54$ | 41.7 | 43.0 | 41.6 | 37.0 |
| $55-64$ | 47.9 | 48.1 | 47.9 | 50.1 |
| $65+$ | 65.6 | 57.0 | 52.0 | 62.7 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15 +) | 25.7 | 26.8 | 24.3 | 23.1 |
| $15-64$ | 25.3 | 26.3 | 24.0 | 22.2 |
| $20-64$ | 25.4 | 26.4 | 24.0 | 22.2 |
| $15-24$ | 10.6 | 12.7 | 17.7 | 21.3 |
| $25-29$ | 14.5 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 13.6 |
| $25-54$ | 25.0 | 26.5 | 23.2 | 20.1 |
| $55-64$ | 33.6 | 31.6 | 30.6 | 31.9 |
| $65+$ | 44.0 | 43.7 | 31.8 | 43.4 |

Share of those working (with no pay) in the family business

| Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ( 15 + | 23.9 | 20.1 | 21.5 | 22.0 |
| 15-64 | 23.6 | 19.4 | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| 20-64 | 22.9 | 18.9 | 19.9 | 20.5 |
| 15-24 | 35.7 | 25.5 | 30.2 | 36.8 |
| 25-29 | 21.3 | 19.2 | 18.0 | 19.4 |
| 25-54 | 21.9 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 18.6 |
| 55-64 | 25.1 | 22.6 | 24.3 | 22.8 |
| $65+$ | 34.0 | 39.7 | 45.8 | 37.5 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| Total (15 +) | 18.2 | 15.1 | 15.9 | 16.4 |
| 15-64 | 17.9 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 15.8 |
| 20-64 | 16.9 | 13.6 | 14.3 | 14.9 |
| 15-24 | 38.1 | 28.4 | 31.2 | 38.0 |
| 25-29 | 22.1 | 20.0 | 19.7 | 21.6 |
| 25-54 | 16.1 | 12.9 | 12.7 | 13.3 |
| 55-64 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 12.9 |
| $65^{+}$ | 25.2 | 31.9 | 34.6 | 27.3 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| Total ( 15 +) | 31.2 | 26.8 | 28.7 | 29.0 |
| 15-64 | 30.7 | 25.9 | 27.3 | 27.9 |


| 20-64 | 30.3 | 25.6 | 26.9 | 27.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-24 | 31.9 | 20.6 | 28.7 | 35.1 |
| 25-29 | 20.3 | 18.0 | 15.6 | 16.7 |
| 25-54 | 28.5 | 23.9 | 24.2 | 24.7 |
| 55-64 | 41.0 | 38.6 | 40.9 | 38.4 |
| $65+$ | 53.9 | 53.4 | 65.0 | 53.7 |
| Share of the employed with less than 15 work hours/week |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Men | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Women | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Sectoral employment structure |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 40.2 | 38.2 | 37.4 | 36.4 |
| Production | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 10.9 |
| Construction | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Extractive industry, energy, gas, and water supply | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Trade, transportation, hotels, business and administrative services | 24.3 | 25.0 | 25.7 | 27.2 |
| Public administration, social services, other activities and services | 16.1 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 16.3 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 36.2 | 34.9 | 33.5 | 32.3 |
| Production | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Construction | 11.2 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 12.2 |
| Extractive industry, energy, gas, and water supply | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Trade, transportation, hotels, business and administrative services | 27.8 | 28.8 | 29.6 | 31.7 |
| Public administration, social services, other activities and services | 13.1 | 13.7 | 14.0 | 12.8 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 45.4 | 42.5 | 42.3 | 41.6 |
| Production | 13.1 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 14.8 |
| Construction | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Extractive industry, energy, gas, and water supply | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Trade, transportation, hotels, business and administrative services | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 21.6 |
| Public administration, social services, other activities and services | 20.0 | 22.4 | 21.4 | 20.7 |
| Unemployment rate |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 15 + | 15.2 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 11.5 |
| 15-64 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| 20-64 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 15-24 | 36.5 | 31.9 | 28.3 | 27.2 |
| 25-29 | 22.1 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 16.7 |
| 25-54 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 11.2 | 10.6 |
| 55-64 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 7.4 |


| Men |  | 15.9 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 11.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15+$ | 16.4 | 15.1 | 13.2 | 12.2 |  |
| $15-64$ | 15.8 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 11.6 |  |
| $20-64$ | 37.4 | 34.1 | 29.6 | 27.8 |  |
| $15-24$ | 22.3 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 15.5 |  |
| $25-29$ | 14.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 10.7 |  |
| $25-54$ | 12.1 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 7.3 |  |
| $55-64$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women | 14.4 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 11.4 |  |
| $15+$ | 14.6 | 12.8 | 12.3 | 11.8 |  |
| $15-64$ | 14.4 | 12.5 | 12.2 | 11.6 |  |
| $20-64$ | 34.9 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 26.3 |  |
| $15-24$ | 21.9 | 21.2 | 20.8 | 18.1 |  |
| $25-29$ | 13.4 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 10.6 |  |
| $25-54$ | 8.3 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.5 |  |
| $55-64$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share of the unemployed who gave up on job search | 10.7 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9.2 |  |
| Total | 14.5 | 11.6 | 13.7 | 15.2 |  |
| Men | 8.1 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.8 |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share of the unemployed not registered with the NES | 46.7 | 52.5 | 55.5 | 63.4 |  |
| Total | 40.0 | 55.9 | 56.8 | 67.6 |  |
| Men | 47.2 | 53.8 | 58.0 |  |  |
| Women | 36.0 | 36.3 | 37.5 |  |  |
| Share of the informally employed | 35.4 | 36.3 | 37.5 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |

Data source: National Agency for Employment and Skills (2016-2020)

| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of registered unemployed jobseekers | 119,710 | 89,780 | 74,686 | 70,930 | 82,921 |
| Percentage of registered unemployed jobseekers participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 33\% | 38\% | 59\% | 63\% | 38\% |
| Number of women | 59,920 | 47,394 | 39,151 | 37,228 | 43,647 |
| Percentage of women participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 33\% | 35\% | 54\% | 59\% | 42\% |
| Number of heads of households | 39,800 | 35,636 | 31,215 | 29,417 | 33,474 |
| Percentage of heads of households participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 15\% | 17\% | 30\% | 31\% | 21\% |
| Number of recipients of unemployment benefits unemployed jobseekers | 5,141 | 2,178 | 2,048 | 2,871 | 4,745 |
| Percentage of recipients of unemployment benefits participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 28\% | 32\% | 41\% | 33\% | 65\% |
| Number of recipients of economic aid - unemployed jobseekers | 49,657 | 41,751 | 24,113 | 21,794 | 26,633 |
| Percentage of recipients of economic aid participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 6\% | 6\% | 12\% | 12\% | 6\% |
| Number of long-term unemployed - unemployed jobseekers | 66,096 | 48,390 | 40,035 | 34,039 | 37,788 |
| Percentage of long-term unemployed participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 12\% | 8\% |
| Number of Roma and Egyptians - unemployed jobseekers | 8,121 | 6,175 | 5,844 | 6,111 | 7,570 |
| Percentage of Roma and Egyptians participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 6\% | 14\% | 23\% | 24\% | 13\% |
| Number of persons with disabilities - registered unemployed jobseekers | 761 | 479 | 518 | 542 | 604 |
| Percentage of persons with disabilities participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 19\% | 35\% | 52\% | 56\% | 28\% |
| Number of returned emigrants - unemployed jobseekers | 1,126 | 518 | 498 | 541 | 572 |
| Percentage of returned emigrants participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 30\% | 42\% | 51\% | 41\% | 14\% |
| Number of persons 15-29 years old - unemployed jobseekers | 29,716 | 17,175 | 14,035 | 14,860 | 18,561 |
| Percentage of persons 15-29 years old participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 66\% | 96\% | 150\% | 150\% | 68\% |
| Number of persons 30-39 years old - unemployed jobseekers | 24,722 | 16,559 | 12,821 | 12,141 | 15,476 |
| Percentage of persons 30-39 years old participating in Active Labor Market Programs | 37\% | 51\% | 80\% | 83\% | 49\% |


| Number of persons 40-50 years old - unemployed <br> jobseekers | 31,467 | 22,776 | 17,776 | 15,720 | 18,000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of persons 40-50 years old participating <br> in Active Labor Market Programs | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Number of persons above 50 years old - unem- <br> ployed jobseekers | 33,805 | 33,270 | 30,054 | 28,209 | 30,884 |
| Percentage of persons above 50 years old partici- <br> pating in Active Labor Market Programs | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Budget expenditure (in million lekë) | 308.4 | 322.9 | 328.3 | 339.6 | 316.6 |
| Central and local-level administration | 466.5 | 475.0 | 258.3 | 346.0 | 71.5 |
| Employment Promotion Programs | 690.5 | 345.1 | 334.8 | 504.1 | 886.6 |
| Unemployment benefits | 215.6 | 229.9 | 238.7 | 263.7 | 235.0 |
| Vocational training | $1,800.1$ | $1,455.3$ | $1,227.6$ | $1,479.6$ | $1,547.0$ |
| Total funding |  |  |  |  |  |

## Health

Data source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018) - Institute of Statistics

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Life expectancy by gender and age group |  |  |
| O years old - Boys | 77.1 | 77.4 |
| O years old - Girls | 80 | 80.5 |
| $1-4$ years old - Boys | 76.7 | 77.1 |
| $1-4$ years old - Girls | 79.7 | 80.2 |
| $60-64$ years old - Men | 21 | 21.2 |
| $60-64$ years old - Women | 22.5 | 22.9 |
| Self-perceived medical/health status | 83.9 | 84.1 |
| Very good or good - Men | 78.9 | 79.6 |
| Very good or good - Women | 81.4 | 81.8 |
| Very good or good - Total | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| Fair - Men | 15.3 | 14.9 |
| Fair - Women | 13.3 | 13.1 |
| Fair - Total | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Poor or very poor - Men | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Poor or very poor - Women | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| Poor or very poor - Total |  |  |

Data source: Compulsory Health Insurance Fund (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of persons <br> with chronic illness | - | 58,683 | 85,848 | 83,526 | 94,563 |
| \% of persons with <br> chronic illness (out of <br> all persons) | - | 20,49 | 35 | 46 | 23.24 |
| Reimbursement for <br> prescribed medica- <br> tion | $8,425,031,623$ | $10,416,830,373$ | $11,027,053,144$ | $10,486,798,326$ | $10,709,629,733$ |
| Patient payment | $790,404,490$ | $830,028,786$ | $966,164,423$ | $994,603,079$ | $984,234,170$ |

[^5]| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cervical cancer | 118 |  |  |
| Total number of cases | 8.3 | 124 | 124 |
| Incidence per 100,000 women | 53 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| Number of deaths | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Gross level | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Standardized level* |  |  |  |
| Colorectal cancer | 321 | 429 | 2492 |
| Total number of cases | 168 | 242 | 151 |
| Men | 153 | 187 | 13.7 |
| Women | 11.2 | 14.9 | 16.8 |
| Incidence per 100,000 inhabitants | 11.5 | 16.7 | 10.5 |
| Total number of cases | 10.8 |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |
| Women |  |  |  |

## *WHO World Standard Population

Data source: Public Health Institute (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuberculosis |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of cases | 413 | 503 | 440 | 412 | 240 |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis | 299 | 346 | 330 | 320 | 201 |
| Extrapulmonary tuberculosis | 114 | 157 | 110 | 92 | 39 |
| Incidence per 100,000 inhabitants | 14.4 | 16.5 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 8.5 |

Data source: Ministry of Health and Social Protection (2016-2020)

| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant mortality rate (0-1 years old) / 1,000 live births |  |  |  |  |  |
| INSTAT | 8.7 | 8 | 8.9 | 10.3 | - |
| MHSP | 6.5 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
| Child mortality rate (0-5 years old) / 1,000 live births |  |  |  |  |  |
| INSTAT | 10.2 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 11 | - |
| MHSP | 7.2 | 6 | 6.9 | 6.3 | - |
| Neonatal mortality rate / 1,000 live births |  |  |  |  |  |
| INSTAT | 6.9 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 8.5 | - |
| MHSP | 4.8 | 4 | 4.7 | 4 | - |
| Coverage by vaccination |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuberculosis | 99.2 | 99 | 99.1 | 98.9 | - |
| Diphtheria | 98.7 | 99 | 99.8 | 98.6 | - |
| Tetanus | 98.7 | 99 | 99.8 | 98.6 | - |
| Pertussis | 98.7 | 99 | 99.8 | 98.6 | - |
| Measles-rubella-mumps | 96.3 | 95.7 | 94.4 | 95 | - |
| Poliomyelitis | 98.3 | 98.9 | 98.7 | 98.6 | - |
| Maternal mortality rate / 100,000 live births | 3.5 | 10.9 | 3.9 | 8.1 | 4.1 |
| Number of children born with Down syndrome | 25 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 10 |
| Number of children born with congenital abnormalities | 345 | 408 | 302 | 255 | 243 |
| Number of new cases of breast cancer | 672 | 713 | 708 | 678 | - |
| Number of abortions | 5410 | 5,279 | 5,532 | 5,183 | 4,425 |
| Number of abortions / 1,000 live births | 170.48 | 171.01 | 191.2 | 181.5 | - |
| Hospitalisation rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average length of stay | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| Percentage of bed usage for hospital care | 48.7 | 48.4 | 51.2 | 49.9 | 31.4 |
| Bed occupancy rate | 33.6 | 32.8 | 33.8 | 34.4 | 25.4 |

[^6]
## Education and training

Data source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2018)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Functional literacy of pupils (PISA ${ }^{1}$ ) |  |
| Reading (below the basic level) | $52 \%$ |
| Math knowledge (below the basic level) | $42 \%$ |
| Scientific literacy (below the basic level) | $47 \%$ |
| Reading (above the basic level) | $48 \%$ |
| Math knowledge (above the basic level) | $58 \%$ |
| Scientific literacy (above the basic level) | $53 \%$ |
| Reading | 405 |
| Math knowledge | 437 |
| Scientific literacy | 417 |

Data source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2018 \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2019- \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Kindergartens |  |  |  |  |
| Gross enrollment ratio | 81.5 | 80.3 | 78.3 | 79.9 |
| Net enrollment ratio | 77.7 | 76.3 | 71.9 | 75.9 |
| 9-year education |  |  |  |  |
| Gross enrollment ratio | 100.4 | 100.7 | 99.7 | 99.8 |
| Net enrollment ratio | 92.3 | 96.5 | 95.6 | 95.8 |
| High school |  |  |  |  |
| Gross enrollment ratio | 94.7 | 93.9 | 95.6 | 90.7 |
| Net enrollment ratio | 77.1 | 76.7 | 77.4 | 76.2 |
| University |  |  |  |  |
| Gross enrollment ratio | 56 | 54 | 60.3 | 59.5 |
| School dropout rate |  |  |  |  |
| Dropout rate - basic education |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.49 |
| Girls | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Boys | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.53 |
| Urban | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.5 |
| Rural | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.49 |
| Percentage of school dropouts - upper secondary education |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.35 | 2.86 | 2.58 | 1.76 |
| Girls | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.85 |
| Boys | 5.1 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.84 |
| Urban | 4.01 | 4.11 | 3.76 | 1.18 |


| Rural | 5,3 | 4,5 | 4,6 | 3,4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of pupils with disabilities (physical and mental) in the <br> education system |  |  |  |  |
| Preschool education | 440 | 500 | 492 | 494 |
| Basic education | 2,986 | 3,446 | 3,664 | 3,550 |
| Upper secondary education | 345 | 456 | 530 | 529 |
| Total (all types of schools) | 3,771 | 4,402 | 4,686 | 4,573 |
| Total (special schools) | 659 | 678 | 652 | 527 |
| Number of Roma and Egyptian pupils |  |  |  |  |
| Preschool education | 962 | 842 | 910 | 1,137 |
| Roma | 1,567 | 1,728 | 1,769 | 1,859 |
| Egyptians |  |  |  |  |
| Basic education | 3,092 | 3,631 | 3,758 | 3,550 |
| Roma | 6,121 | 7,596 | 8,273 | 7,144 |
| Egyptians |  |  |  |  |
| Upper secondary education | 483 | 345 | 261 | 175 |
| Roma | 460 | 865 | 1,042 | 650 |
| Egyptians |  |  |  |  |
| Preuniversity education | 4,537 | 4,818 | 4,929 | 4,862 |
| Roma | 8,148 | 10,189 | 11,084 | 9,653 |
| Egyptians | 0 |  |  |  |
| Number of pupils accessing free textbooks | 6,443 | 4,378 | 2,210 | 1,525 |
| Number of pupils, grades 1 to 4 | 6,423 | 3,236 | 3,130 |  |
| Number of Roma pupils | 111 | 87 | 54 |  |
| Number of Egyptian pupils | 60,213 | 56,047 | 36,286 | 32,033 |
| Number of blind pupils | 1,978 | 1,284 | 978 |  |
| Number of orphaned pupils |  |  |  |  |
| Number of pupils coming from families that receive econom- |  |  |  |  |
| ic aid and unemployment assistance |  |  |  |  |

Data source: Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education expenditure (percentage of GDP) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic education | $1.81 \%$ | $1.33 \%$ | $1.34 \%$ | $1.34 \%$ | $1.46 \%$ |
| Upper secondary education | $0.53 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ |
| Total (preuniversity education) | $2.34 \%$ | $1.77 \%$ | $1.76 \%$ | $1.76 \%$ | $1.90 \%$ |

## Other indicators of education:

- Number of schools that benefit food subsidies: 5 (3 in Tirana, 1 in Korça, and 1 in Vlora)
- Number of children that benefited food subsidies during 2020-2021: 342.
- Number of children that benefit transportation subsidies is around 32,000.
- Number of children that benefit scholarship (6 grade and above) is around 1,200.


## Basic needs

Data source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018) - Institute of Statistics

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Financial burden imposed by housing-related costs (\%) |  |  |
| Financial burden | 9.2 | 6 |
| Possession of household appliances (\%) | 98.4 | 98.5 |
| Phone | 99.4 | 99.3 |
| Television | 39.1 | 42.4 |
| Computer | 94.5 | 95.2 |
| Washing machine |  |  |
| Quality of clothing /inadequate clothing $(\%)$ | 37.0 | 25.3 |
| Replacing old clothes with new ones | 12.0 | 7.7 |
| Having two pairs of shoes |  |  |

Data source: Ministry of Finance and Economy (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of social housing beneficiaries: Roma families* | $66.5 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |
| Social housing budget ${ }^{* *}$ | 0.424 | 0.43 | 0.505 | 0.526 | 3.5 |
| Social housing expenditure as \% of GDP | $0.03 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ |

*Different modes of calculation have been used for the period 2016-2017 and 2018-2020.
**In billion lekë.

## Human rights

Data source: State Social Services (2016-2020)

| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of beneficiaries | 10,354 | 10,979 | 10,712 | 13080 | 16642 |
| Residential centers | 85 | 115 | 71 | 135 | 56 |
| Daily centers | 606 | 531 | 349 | 376 | 380 |
| Number of requests by women | 217 | 159 | 154 | 191 | 196 |
| Number of requests, Roma | 148 | 113 | 125 | 177 | 99 |
| Number of beneficiaries by group |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 3150 | 3982 | 3758 | 4609 | 7161 |
| Youth | 2390 | 1648 | 1488 | 2435 | 1938 |
| Women | 1835 | 1795 | 1926 | 1853 | 3174 |
| Older adults | 1391 | 1759 | 1733 | 2127 | 2161 |
| Persons with disabilities | 1590 | 1795 | 1807 | 2056 | 2208 |
| Number of beneficiaries by region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tiranë | 705 | 1,843 | 1,575 | 2,638 | 2,701 |
| Berat | 61 | 98 | 110 | 119 | 121 |
| Elbasan | 2262 | 1893 | 2243 | 2628 | 1999 |
| Durrës | 1266 | 1445 | 1367 | 1317 | 1214 |
| Fier | 94 | 317 | 355 | 321 | 353 |
| Shkodër | 2,115 | 1,365 | 1,643 | 2,523 | 7,106 |
| Vlorë | 1,976 | 1,826 | 1,220 | 1,179 | 1,148 |
| Dibër | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 110 |
| Kukës | 132 | 73 | 106 | 102 | 92 |
| Lezhë | 594 | 669 | 725 | 804 | 644 |
| Korçë | 987 | 1,273 | 1,202 | 1,201 | 973 |
| Gjirokastër | 163 | 177 | 166 | 155 | 181 |
| Number of cases of domestic violence addressed by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic Violence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 95 | 85 | 91 | 46 | 60 |
| Women | 36 | 35 | 35 | 18 | 23 |
| Children (girls) | 22 | 19 | 29 | 10 | 19 |
| Children (boys) | 37 | 31 | 27 | 18 | 18 |
| Number of neglected children that received supportive services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 179 | 189 | 210 | 210 | 229 |
| Boys | 99 | 110 | 135 | 131 | 130 |
| Girls | 80 | 71 | 75 | 79 | 99 |

Data source: State Directorate for Legal Aid (2017-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of applications for legal aid | NA | NA | 67 | 4,372 |
| Number of approvals | 359 | 365 | 64 | 4,348 |
| Percentage of cases won | NA | NA | $95.5 \%$ | $99.4 \%$ |

Data source: Ministry of Interior (2016-2020)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of persons who havea birth certificate     <br> Women, 0-18 years old 27.37 30.77 34.18 37.45 <br> Men, O-18 years old 27.22 30.64 34.01 37.28 <br> Women, $18-65$ years old 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.04 <br> Men, $18-65$ years old 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.04 <br> Women, $65^{+}$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 <br> Men, $65^{+}$ 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |

Data source: Ministry of Justice (2016-2019)

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of criminal cases (completed) | 17,304 | 12,398 | 13,827 | 11,531 |
| Number of civil cases (completed) | 74,297 | 78,200 | 70,668 | 52,612 |
| Number of dismissed cases | 6,341 | 6,543 | 6,657 | 5,823 |
| Crime rate (per 100,000 people) | 144 | 146 | 143 | 177 |
| Number of those involved in public interest work | 974 | 938 | 884 | 1,017 |
| Number of juvenile offenders | 562 | 283 | 296 | 280 |

## Appendix B

## Definitions ${ }^{14}$

## Financial poverty and social protection

## At-risk-of-poverty rate by gender and age group

Share of persons with an income per consumer unit below $60 \%$ of the national median income per consumer unit. Income per consumer unit is calculated by dividing household income by the modified OECD scale (weight 1 assigned to the first adult, weight 0.5 to other adults over the age of 14 and weight 0.3 assigned to each child under 14).

## At-risk-of-poverty rate by household type

At-risk-of-poverty rate by different household types depending on the household size, number of adults and number of dependent children.

## At-risk-of-poverty rate by the work intensity of household members

Work intensity of the household refers to the number of months that all working age household members have been working during the income reference year as a proportion of the total number of months that could theoretically be working in a household.

## At-risk-of-poverty rate by the most frequent activity status in the labor market

The most frequent activity status of members of the household aged $16{ }^{+}$. On the basis of the most frequent activity status in the previous year (economic activity status lasting six or more months) the individuals are classified as economically active (employed, self-employed or unemployed) and economically inactive (pensioners and other inactive persons).
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## At-risk-of-poverty rate by tenure status

With respect to the basis of use of apartment in which the household lives. Households are differentiated to those living in an apartment owned by one of the members, or where they live free of charge and households paying lease (rent) for housing.

## At-risk-of-poverty threshold

$60 \%$ of the median equivalised income of all the households in a population. This is the illustrative value of the poverty line above the defined poverty line. It is expressed in PPS, Euros and the national currency. It needs to be monitored for the entire population, for single person households, households with two adults and two children.

## Inequality of income distribution, quintile ratio S80/S20

Quintile ratio S80/S20 compares the total equivalent income of the top and the lowest quintiles. The top quintile represents $20 \%$ of the population with the highest equivalent income, and the lowest quintile $20 \%$ population with the lowest income. It only measures the changes in the top and the lowest quintiles of equivalent income.

## Relative at-risk-of-poverty gap

Difference between the median equivalised disposable income of people below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold and the at-risk-of- poverty threshold, expressed as a percentage of the at-risk-of-poverty threshold (cut-off point: $60 \%$ of national median equivalised disposable income).

## Inequality of income distribution - Gini coefficient

Measure of inequality of income taking into account the entire income distribution.

## At-persistent-risk-of-povertyrate (50\% of the median)

Share of persons with income per consumer unit below $50 \%$ of the median national income per consumer unit in at least two of the three previous years (condition: existence of panel data).

## In-work poverty (full /part time)

Proportion of individuals classified as employed and who are at risk of poverty.

## Share of social transfers

Allocation of social transfers in population and share of social transfers in the income of the poor and non-poor.

## Efficiency and effectiveness of social transfers

Efficiency of social transfers: At-risk-of-poverty rates are compared before and after social transfers. An indicator defined in this way allows for measuring of the at-risk-of-poverty rate decrease as a consequence of social transfers.The second method of measuring efficiency of social transfers is to measure the percentage of social transfers distributed to population at risk of poverty.

Effectiveness of social transfers: Percentage of relative at-risk-of-poverty gap eliminated by social transfers. It shows the allocation of social transfers by the level of the household income and thus supports development of interventions in the domain of targeting of social transfers.

## Social protection budget

The budget of State Social Services allocated for social protection.

## Economic aid and social assistance expenditure

Expenditure for the economic aid program and social assistance program.

## Number of beneficiaries with disabilities

The number of persons with disabilities who benefit from State Social Services.

## Number of beneficiaries: residential services

The number of persons who benefit from residential services (State Social Services).

## Number of beneficiaries: daily services

The number of persons who benefit from daily services (State Social Services).

## Number of beneficiaries: Roma community

The number of beneficiaries from the Roma community (State Social Services).

## Children in foster care

The number of children in foster care (State Social Services).

## Victims of domestic violence

The number of victims of domestic violence recorded by State Social Services.

## Victims of trafficking

The number of victims of trafficking recorded by State Social Services.

## 2. Employment and skills

## Long-term unemployment rate

Represents a proportion of persons unemployed for 12 months and longer in active population aged 15-64.

## Share of long-term unemployed (in the total number of the unemployed)

Proportion of persons unemployed for 12 months and longer in the total number of unemployed.

## Very long-term unemployment rate

Proportion of persons unemployed for minimum 24 months in active population (aged 15-64).

## Activity rate

Share of the employed and unemployed population (both categories defined by ILO standards) relative to working age population (aged 15-64). This indicator is a measure of total offer of labour in the society over the observed period. It indicates the size and structure of human resources on the labour market, but individually does not show inclusion in the labour market.

## Employment rate

Share of the employed persons (ILO definition) in the working age population (15-64). Also, it represents one of the key indicators of labour market that needs to be taken in combination with other indicators or disaggregated by gender, age, region, ethnic affiliation, labour status, etc. Taken independently, this indicator cannot provide accurate information on employment (the high employment rates in countries with high levels of labour informality may indicated high engagement of population in informal, often agricultural, non-productive labour activities in order to survive in conditions of extreme poverty).

## Share of self-employed

The share of employed persons that is self-employed.

## Share of those working (with no pay) in the family business

The share of persons working (with no pay) in the family business.

## Share of the employed with less than 15 work hours perweek

The share of employed persons who work less than 15 hours during the working week in the total number of the employed.Indicates hidden unemployment in view of the extremely low labour intensity at individual level.

## Household labour intensity

Represents the ratio of the total number of months that working age household members spent in employment during the previous year and the number of months that these members could have spent theoretically in employment.Shows full or low intensity of inclusion of the household in labour, may well indicate differences among households of certain categories of population as well as individual household members but also to allow insight into connections between labour intensity and aspects of financial poverty.

## Share of the informally employed

Share of the employed who work without a labour contract, entrepreneurs and the self-employed without a registered enterprise.

## Sectoral employment structure

Share of employment in individual sectors of economy relative tototalemployment. The indicator primarily describes the economic structure of thesocietythrough labour force distribution but may at the same time showwhethercertain groupshave been deprived of the possibility of inclusionindevelopmental sectors of economy or if certain groups concentrateincertain traditional, low productivity sectors as well as in thosewithexplicitlyunfavourableworkingconditions.

## Unemployment rate by age group and gender

Share of persons who were unemployed (ILO definition) in the reference period relative to the total number of active persons.

## Share of the unemployed who gave up on job search

 Share of dependent persons who gave up on job search and moved into inactive status. Shows the effects of long-term unemployment, the 'discouraged' unemployed who withdraw from the labour market, for the reason of losing hope in opportunities of finding a job.
## Share of the unemployed not registered with the National Employment Service (NES)

Share of persons who, according to the ILO definition, are unemployed or are not registered with the NES in the total number of the unemployed. Shows exclusion from services of mediation of the national institution in charge of employment.

## Share of the unemployed included in Active Labor Market Programs

Share of the unemployed included in the active labour market measures of NES.

## Budget expenditure

Budget expenditure of the National Agency for Employment and Skills(in million lekë).

## 3. Health

## Life expectancy

At birth - by gender - average number of years that an infant is expected to live (assuming his life is subject to current mortality conditions).At the age of 1 - by gender - average number of years that a 1-year-old child is expected to live (assuming his life is subject to current mortality conditions).At the age of 60 - by gender average number of years that a 60 -year-old person is yet expected to live (assuming his life is subject to current mortality conditions).

## Self-perceived medical status

The variables refer to the respondent's own assessment of whether he or she needed the respective type of examination or treatment, but did not have it and if so, what was the main reason of not having it.

## Number of persons with chronic illness

Number of persons with chronic illness. Also, reporting the percentage of persons with chronic illness.

Reimbursement for prescribed medication and patient payment
Amount of reimbursement for prescribed medication and patient payment.

## Coverage by vaccination

Share of children vaccinated relative to the total population of children. Reported for
tuberculosis, diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, mea-sles-rubella-mumps, and poliomyelitis.

## Cervical cancer

Cervical cancer incidence at 100,000 women. Number of newly diagnosed women per year.

## Colorectal cancer

Colon cancer incidence at 100,000 men. Colon cancer incidence at 100,000 women. Number of newly diagnosed men per year. Number of newly diagnosed women per year.

## Tuberculosis

Case detection rate for all forms of tuberculosis. The ratio of the number of notified TB cases to the number of incident TB cases in a given year.

## Mortality rate of infants and children

Share of children who died in the first 28 days of life (neonatal period). Share of children who died before turning one relative to the total population of children up to the age of 1 - expressed per 1,000 live births. Share of children who died by the age of 5 relative to 1,000 live births.

## Maternal mortality rate

Mortality of women due to illness and conditions during pregnancy, at delivery and six weeks after delivery, which is an important indicator for assessing the medical status of women in the generative period and as well as the quality of medical care provided, calculated per 100,000 live births.

## Number of children born with Down syndrome and congenital abnormalities

Number of children born with Down syndrome and congenital abnormalities per 100,000 live births.

## Number of new cases of breast cancer

Breast cancer incidence at 100,000 women. Number of newly diagnosed women per year.

## Number of abortions

Number of abortions per 1,000 live births.

## Hospitalisation rates

Average length of stay, percentage of bed usage for hospital care, and bed occupancy rate.

## 4. Education and training

## Functional literacy of pupils

Literacy expressed as a low result of pupils in PISA ${ }^{15}$ test. The test measures knowledge and skills of 15years old (reading, math knowledge, scientific literacy), from the aspect of functional literacy and capability for real life.

## Gross enrollment ratio (GER)

Number of pupils enrolled in a given level of education, regardless of the age, expressed as a percentage of the population in the theoretical age group in the same level of education.

## Net enrollment ratio (NER)

Number of pupils in the theoretical age group for a given grade/level of education enrolled in that level, expressed as a percentage of the total population in that age group.

## School dropout

Pupils who drop out school are considered those pupils enrolled at the beginning of a school year and at the end of it are unclassified due to absence in the teaching process.

Number of disadvantaged pupils in the education system
Data reported for pupils with disabilities, Roma and Egyptian pupils.

## Access to free textbooks

Access to free textbooks by groups such as Roma pupils, Egyptian pupils, blind pupils, and orphaned pupils.

## Education expenditure as percentage of GDP

Public expenditures as \% of GDP for basic education, upper secondary education, and preuniversity education (total).
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## 5. Basic needs

## Financial burden imposed by housing-related costs

Share of households where the total housing costs (rent, utilities, power, etc.) exceed $50 \%$ of the total disposable income of the household.

## Possession of household appliances

The proportion of households who have a score on the summary scale of appliances (e.g., stove, air conditioner, washing machine, dishwasher, microwave oven, refrigerator, deep freeze, vacuum cleaner, TV set, radio and other music devices, personal computer, passenger vehicle and DVD) below the average or as a proportion of households wherein the value of appliances is below the average. The content of the standard list of appliances varies between the countries and in time.

## Quality of clothing

Share of households that can afford clothing and footwear when needed by a member of household.

## Percentage of social housing beneficiaries

Proportion of approvals of social housing based on the number of applicants.

## Social housing budget

Social housing budget (in billion lekë) and social housing expenditure as \% of GDP.

## 6. Human rights

## Number of beneficiaries

Number of beneficiaries from State Social Services.

## Number of requests made by women and members

## of the Roma community

Number of requests made to State Social Services by women and members of the Roma community.

## Number of beneficiaries by group

Number of beneficiaries from State Social Services by group. Data reported for children, youth, women, older adults, and persons with disabilities.

## Number of requests by region

Number of requests addressed to State Social Services by region.

## Number of cases of domestic violence addressed

 by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic ViolenceNumber of cases of domestic violence addressed by the National Centre for Victims of Domestic Violence.

## Number of neglected children receiving supportive

 servicesNumber of neglected children who receive supportive services.

## Share of persons possessing a birth certificate

Share of persons who have birth certificates.

## Access to legal aid

Number of legal aid applications, number of approvals, and percentage of cases won.

## Number of criminal cases (completed)

Cases registered in court based on a criminal offense provided in the Criminal Code for which the court has ruled in a decision.

## Number of civil cases (completed)

Cases registered in court based on a civil offense provided in the Civil Code for which the court has ruled in a decision.

## Number of dismissed cases

Criminal/civil cases registered in the court based on the provisions of the Criminal/Civil Code for which the court, based on the acts it administers, decides to dismiss the case.

## Crime rate (per 100,000 people)

Number of crimes per 100,000 inhabitants.

## Number of those involved in public interest work

Number of those who are sentenced with public interest work. The court specifies the number of hours and the number of times per week that the person is obliged to engage in public interest work.

## Number of juvenile offenders

Juveniles who are found guilty by the court for committing a criminal offense. A juvenile, by law, is any person under the age of 18 .


[^0]:    1. The trend varies based on the data source (see p. 36).
[^1]:    Source-Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018)

[^2]:    Source: Survey on Income and Living Conditions (2017-2018

[^3]:    11. Child mortality rate, infant mortality rate, and neonatal mortality rate are reported from two sources: the Institute of Statistics and the Ministry of Health and Social Protection. The Ministry of Health and Social Protection refers to the data collected from public institutions. The Institute of Statistics refers to the data collected from civil registry offices (death records).
[^4]:    13. Tables include more indicators than presented above.
[^5]:    Data source: Public Health Institute (2016-2018)

[^6]:    Source: Ministry of Health and Social Protection (2016-2020)

[^7]:    14. Definitions are based on the Social Inclusion Policy Document (2016 2020). Some indicators have been adjusted based on data availability.
[^8]:    15. Programme for Integrated Student Assessment
