**Supporting the Creation of Small Businesses and Strengthening the Livelihoods of affected population in Kikla through Asset Recovery.**

**SELECTION CRITERIA BREAKDOWN**

Minimum threshold for the selection of Responsible Party: 600 points

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Form 1** | | Maximum score | NGO | | |
| A | B | C |
| **Organization’s eligibility and qualifications** | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Officially registered organization, cleared for operation in Libya for at least 1 year: Yes – 50 points, No – 0 point (out of evaluation) | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1.2 | Proven experience in implementing Asset Recovery and/or cash grants to households or MSMEs, not limited to Libya, is required: Yes – 50 points, No – 0 point (out of evaluation) | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1.3 | Availability of human resources (the details mentioned below) that will ensure due quality and timely implementation of the contract: Yes – 50 points, No – 0 point (out of evaluation). | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1.4 | Geographical areas of operation of the NGO matches the selected municipality: municipality in operation of the NGO – 50 points, scaling – 30 points. | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | Previous experience in project implementation of the UN agencies: 1 programme – 30 points, 2-3 programmes– 40 points, more than 3 – 50 points, no experience – 0 points | 50 |  |  |  |
| 1.6 | Information on previous projects in conducting business training in the context of emergency livelihoods. Yes – 50 points, No – 0 point. | 50 |  |  |  |
| Overall score on Form 1 | | 300 |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment of technical proposal**  **Form 2** | | Maximum score | NGO | | |
| A | B | C |
| **Proposed Implementation Schedule/Breakdown** | | | | | |
| 2.1 | How well-developed is the proposed overall approach, structure, in line with the anticipated outputs?   * The proposal fully meets the objectives of the project – 100 points * The proposal partially meets the objectives of the project – from 50 to 100 points * The proposal has elements suitable for implementation of the programme, but does not present a clear strategy – from 0 to 50 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2.2 | How well-developed the proposed methodology for effectiveness of asset procurement and distribution to beneficiaries?   * The suggested methodology is valid, and realistic based on experience and realities in municipality – 200 points * The suggested methodology is reasonable but untested – from 100 to 200 points * The suggested methodology has significant risks in implementation – from 0 to 100 points | 200 |  |  |  |
| 2.3 | How well-developed is the proposed methodology for the effectiveness of action, targeting and inclusivity of the beneficiaries?   * The suggested methodology is valid, and realistic– 100 points * The suggested methodology is reasonable, but may incur challenges – from 50 to 100 points * The suggested methodology is not fully inclusive – from 0 to 50 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Overall score on Form 2 | | 400 |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment of technical proposal**  **Form 3** | | Maximum score | NGO | | |
| A | B | C |
| **Personnel** | | | | | |
|  | **Project Manager** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Bachelor’s or Master’s degree in Economy, Public Administration, Law, Management or related field (High School – 0 points, Bachelor’s degree – 10 points, Master’s degree and more – 20 points). | 20 |  |  |  |
| 3.2 | Minimum 3 years of professional experience in project management (3 years – 30 points, 4+ years – 40 points). | 40 |  |  |  |
| 3.3 | Minimum 3 years of experience in implementing livelihoods projects, or other economic empowerment programming (3 years – 30 points, 4+ years – 40 points). | 40 |  |  |  |
| 3.4 | Excellent knowledge of English. (Yes – 30 points, No – 0 points). | 30 |  |  |  |
| Interim score according to criteria 3.1–3.4 | | 130 |  |  |  |
|  | **Activity Manager:** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | Bachelor’s or high school degree in Economy, Engineering, Management or related  field (Bachelor’s degree – 20 points, high school degree – 10 points). | 20 |  |  |  |
| 3.6 | Minimum two years of experience as local coordinator, monitoring field activities with vulnerable groups (2 years – 10 points, 3 to 5 years – 20 points, 5+ years – 30 points). | 30 |  |  |  |
| 3.7 | Experience in the field management of Asset Recovery or Cash grants to households: (Yes – 20 points, No – 0 points) | 20 |  |  |  |
|  | Interim score according to criteria 3.5–3.7 | 70 |  |  |  |
|  | **Finance and Administrative Staff:** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.11 | Bachelor’s (or high school) degree in Economy, Public Administration, Law, Finance or related field (High school – 10 points, Bachelor’s degree – 20 points). | 20 |  |  |  |
| 3.12 | Minimum 2 years of experience in administrative work: (2 years – 20 points, 3+ years – 30 points). | 30 |  |  |  |
|  | Interim score according to criteria 3.11–3.12 | 50 |  |  |  |
|  | **Monitoring & Evaluation Staff:** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.13 | Bachelor’s or high school degree in Economy, Statistics, or Public Administration;  (High school – 10 points, Bachelor’s degree – 20 points). | 20 |  |  |  |
| 3.14 | Minimum 2 years of experience in working with Monitoring and Evaluation frameworks (2 years – 20 points, 3+ years – 30 points). | 30 |  |  |  |
|  | Interim score according to criteria 3.13–3.14 | 50 |  |  |  |
| Overall score on Form 3 | | 300 |  |  |  |