Social Cohesion and Reconciliation (SCORE)index-Youth

DRAFT
DEMOGRAPHICS
Sample size: 1233, including 356 in UTA Gagauzia
Age: 14-18

Gender distribution
- Boys: 47%
- Girls: 53%

Age distribution
- 14: 20%
- 15: 17%
- 16: 25%
- 17: 20%
- 18: 18%

Urbanity distribution
- Urban: 35%
- Rural: 65%
OVERALL SOCIAL COHESION AMONG YOUTH

![Diagram showing social cohesion among youth with scores and indicators.]

- Brain drain and emigration tendency: 6.5*
- Support for gender equity and inclusion: 5.5
- Sharing a human rights ethos: 6.5
- Openness towards outgroups: 2.9
- Constructive citizenship: 6.3

Social Cohesion: 4.9

* This score was reversed to calculate the overall score for social cohesion.
CONSTRUCTIVE CITIZENSHIP

Social Cohesion 4.9

Constructive citizenship 6.3

Brain drain and emigration tendency 6.5
Openness towards outgroups 2.9
Support for gender equity and inclusion 5.5
Sharing a human rights ethos 6.5

= ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP + MOTIVATION TO PARTICIPATE

CONSTRUCTIVE CITIZENSHIP

UNITED NATIONS MOLDOVA
CONSTRUCTIVE CITIZENSHIP
Components of Constructive Citizenship – Heatmaps

Active citizenship

- Adults: 5.8

Motivation to participate in decision-making

- 5.7
- 5.3
- 4.7
CONSTRUCTIVE CITIZENSHIP

Motivation to Participate in Decision-Making – Partial Predictive Model

Teacher support

SDS Risk

Positive family environment

Abuse environment

Feeling included in decision-making

Creativity traits

Collaborative problem-solving skills

Motivation to participate in decision-making
CONSTRUCTIVE CITIZENSHIP

Motivation to Participate in Decision-Making – Detailed View

I am strongly interested to participate in making decisions made by...

- Your family: 63.40% (Boys), 71.20% (Girls)
- Your school: 25.60% (Boys), 25.90% (Girls)
- Volunteer groups and civic organizations: 24.80% (Boys), 31.60% (Girls)
- Public authorities: 19.90% (Boys), 20.30% (Girls)
- Political parties: 17.90% (Boys), 16.90% (Girls)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Rural</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeling included in decision-making</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to participate in decision-making</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeling included in decision-making</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to participate in decision-making</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Social Cohesion: 4.9

- Brain drain and emigration tendency: 6.5
- Openness towards outgroups: 2.9
- Constructive citizenship: 6.3
- Support for gender equity and inclusion: 5.5
- Sharing a human rights ethos: 6.5

Other related topics:
- Representative and non-corrupt governance
- Inter-group relations and tolerance
- Economic opportunities
- Trust in authorities
- National health care policy
- Educational system
- Effective delivery of services
- Promotion of values and culture
- Non-violent conflict resolution
Emigration Tendency – Detailed View

Moldova is a good place to live and work
I can make a real difference if I stay in this country for the long term
At some point in the future, I hope to leave Moldova
My friends or family often talk about making a better life somewhere else
Many members of my family or friends emigrated somewhere else to make a better life.

Emigration Tendency

- Moldova is a good place to live and work: 63.5%
- I can make a real difference if I stay in this country for the long term: 53.0%
- At some point in the future, I hope to leave Moldova: 75.6%
- My friends or family often talk about making a better life somewhere else: 76.0%
- Many members of my family or friends emigrated somewhere else to make a better life: 78.5%
BRAIN DRAIN AND EMIGRATION TENDENCY

Emigration Tendency – Partial Predictive Model

- Correlates
  - Governance
    - Access to services and infrastructure
    - Perceived corruption
    - Personal and political security
  - Relationships
    - School connectedness
    - Abusive environment
    - Positive family environment
  - Values
    - Progressive values
  - Location
    - Urbanity
    - Cluster: Gagauzia

- Drivers
  - Satisfaction and trust in the education system
  - Civic motivation
  - Ambition

- Outcome
  - Emigration tendency
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Social Cohesion 4.9

- Brain drain and emigration tendency: 6.5
- Support for gender equity and inclusion: 5.5
- Openness towards outgroups: 2.9
- Constructive citizenship: 6.3
- Sharing a human rights ethos: 6.5

=SUPPORT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS + BELIEF IN UNIVERSALITY OF HUMAN RIGHTS + SOCIAL TOLERANCE
SHARING A HUMAN RIGHTS ETHOS

Components of Sharing a Human Rights Ethos – Heatmaps

- **Belief in universality of human rights**
  - Adults: 7.1
  - Adults: 6.7
  - Adults: 3.3

- **Support for general human rights**
  - 8.0

- **Social tolerance**
  - 4.8
### Social Tolerance – Detailed View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>&quot;I would accept to interact with them personally&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;I would accept them in the community, but personally avoid communication&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;I would not want to have them in my community at all&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People with a different colour of skin</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jews</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with physical or mental disorder</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslims</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBTQI+</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People living with HIV or AIDS</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug addicts</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Social Tolerance**

- **Adults 96%**: People with a different colour of skin
- **Adults 94%**: Jews
- **Adults 93%**: People with physical or mental disorder
- **Adults 73%**: Muslims
- **Adults 66%**: Roma
- **Adults 52%**: LGBTQI+
- **Adults 85%**: People living with HIV or AIDS
- **Adults 75%**: Drug addicts

Legend:
- Green: I would accept to interact with them personally
- Blue: I would accept them in the community, but personally avoid communication
- Red: I would not want to have them in my community at all
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Support for gender equity and inclusion: 5.5
Openness towards outgroups: 2.9
Constructive citizenship: 6.3
Sharing a human rights ethos: 6.5
Brain drain and emigration tendency: 6.5

Support for women in political positions + Belief in female inferiority + Negative attitudes towards women in the workforce
SUPPORT FOR GENDER EQUITY AND INCLUSION

Components of Support for Gender Equity and Inclusion – Heatmaps

Belief in female inferiority
Support for women in politics
Negative attitudes towards women’s participation in the workforce
Support for gender equity and inclusion & sharing a human rights ethos – Partial Model

**Correlates**
- Satisfaction with the education system
- Parental involvement
- Empathy

**Drivers**
- Prosocial orientation
- Conservatism
- Tolerance of diversity

**Outcome**
- Support for general human rights
- Belief in female inferiority
- Support for sexual, identity and reproductive rights
- Support for women in political positions

**Categories**
- General human rights
- Progressive human rights
OPENNESS TOWARDS OUTGROUPS

Social Cohesion 4.9

- Support for gender equity and inclusion 5.5
- Sharing a human rights ethos 6.5
- Constructive citizenship 6.3
- Brain drain and emigration tendency 6.5
- Openness towards outgroups 2.9

= TRUST TOWARDS OUTGROUPS + NEGATIVE FEELINGS TOWARDS OUTGROUPS
OPENNESS TOWARDS OUTGROUPS

Negative feelings towards outgroups – Detailed View

- Negative feelings towards people who support union with Romania
  - Adults: 4.0
  - Adults: 3.3

- Negative feelings towards people who support closer ties with the EU
  - Adults: 4.1

- Negative feelings towards people living in Gagauzia
  - Adults: 5.1

- Negative feelings towards people who support closer ties with Russia
  - Adults: 4.5

- Negative feelings towards people living in Transnistria
  - Adults: 5.3

Openness towards political outgroups

- Adults: 3.7
OPENNESS TOWARDS OUTGROUPS

Trust towards outgroups – Detailed View

Trust in people who support union with Romania

Trust in people who support closer ties with the EU

Trust in people living in Gagauzia

Trust in people who support closer ties with Russia

Trust in people living in Transnistria

Openness towards political outgroups
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